

THE HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE G. RADHA RANI

Writ Petition No.27080 of 2022

ORDER:

Heard Mr. Ch. Venkat Raman, learned counsel for petitioner and Mr. Prabhakar Rao, learned Standing Counsel for Kaloji Narayana Rao University of Health Sciences, for respondent Nos.3 and 4.

2. With the consent of both parties, the Writ Petition is disposed of at the stage of admission.

3. This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India challenging the action of 3rd respondent-University in not permitting the petitioner for physical verification of the answer script of the petitioner relating to 'Human Anatomy' subject in respect of Hall Ticket No.2001006095 of M.B.B.S. 1st Year Examination held in the month of March, 2022 as illegal, irregular, arbitrary, unjust and contrary to law; and to direct the 3rd respondent to produce the answer script of the petitioner relating to 'Human Anatomy' subject in respect of Hall Ticket

No.2001006095 of M.B.B.S. 1st Year Examination held in the month of March, 2022.

4. Petitioner contends that she had appeared for I-Year M.B.B.S. course examination held in the month of March, 2022 for the Academic Year 2021-22. She had successfully completed the courses of Bio-Chemistry and Physiology. But, in respect of 'Human Anatomy' subject, she secured total marks of '162' and failed in Paper-II of Theory part. She attempted almost all the questions in the said subject of 'Human Anatomy'. She secured total marks of '528' in all out of the maximum marks of '900'.

5. Petitioner further contends that after receipt of Marks Memo dated 09.05.2022, her father submitted an application *on-line* seeking re-verification of the answer script of 'Human Anatomy' subject and paid the requisite fee of Rs.200/-.

6. The 3rd respondent-University, after re-verification of the answer script, found that there was no change in the marks and confirmed that petitioner failed in the said subject, viz., 'Human Anatomy'.

7. Since, the respondent-authorities had not permitted the petitioner to examine the answer script, petitioner prayed for issuance of a direction to the 3rd respondent-University to produce the answer script of the petitioner relating to 'Human Anatomy' subject.

8. Learned counsel for the 3rd respondent-University reported no objection to direct the petitioner to make an application before the Grievance Committee of the University for permitting her to examine the answer script.

9. In view of the submissions of the learned counsel for the parties, it is considered fit to direct the petitioner to make an application before the Grievance Committee of the 3rd respondent-University, and on receipt of the application of the petitioner, the authorities of the 3rd respondent-University are directed to consider the same within a period of ten (10) days from the date of receipt of the said application and communicate their decision to the petitioner.

10. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of with the above direction. No order as to costs.

11. As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending if any in this Writ Petition, shall stand closed.

Dr. G. RADHA RANI, J

Date : 28.06.2022
Ndr