

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(S). OF 2017  
(Arising out of SLP (Crl.) No(s). 1050 of 2016)

THE STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH

APPELLANT (S)

VERSUS

SAUDAN SINGH & ANR.

RESPONDENT (S)

O R D E R

Leave granted.

In spite of service, no one appears for the respondents. We have heard learned senior counsel for the appellant and perused the record.

We are of the view that acquittal of the respondents is not called for. Accordingly, having regard to the entirety of evidence on record, they are convicted under Section 304-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860. According to the judgment of the High Court, they have undergone almost seven years of custody. They will accordingly stand sentenced to the period already undergone.

The appeal is disposed of in above terms.

.....J.  
[ADARSH KUMAR GOEL]

.....J.  
[UDAY UMESH LALIT]

NEW DELHI  
28<sup>th</sup> November, 2017

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 1050/2016

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 05-05-2015 in CRLAP No. 4395/2011 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad)

THE STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SAUDAN SINGH &amp; ANR.

Respondent(s)

Date : 28-11-2017 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Ratnakar Dash, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Kamendra Das, Adv.  
Mr. Somesh Tiwari, Adv.  
Mr. Rajeev Kumar Dubey, Adv.  
Mr. Abhish Kumar, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed order.

Pending applications, if any, shall also stand disposed  
of.(SWETA DHYANI)  
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT(MADHU NARULA)  
BRANCH OFFICER

(Signed order is placed on the file)