



HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEET SARAN

Counsel for the Parties:

Mr. Aman Sinha, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Sanjai Kumar Pathak, AOR  
Ms. Shashi Pathak, Adv.  
Ms. Nupoor Sinha, Adv.  
Mr. Arvind Kumar Tripathi, Adv.

Ms. Madhavi Divan, ASG  
Mr. Sibor Sankar Mishra, AOR  
Mr. Kumar Anurag Singh, Adv.

Ms. Madhavi Divan, ASG  
Mr. Parthiv K. Goswami, Adv.  
Ms. Vishakha, Adv.  
Mr. G.S. Makker, Adv.

Ms. Meenakshi Arora, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Shefali Jain, Adv.  
Ms. Vaishali Gupta, Adv.  
Mr. Ali Mushtaq Nawazish, Adv.  
Ms. Ramya Khanna, Adv.  
Mr. Rajesh Prasad Singh, AOR

Ms. Geeta Luthra, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Prateek Yadav, Adv.  
Ms. Shivani Luthra Lohia, Adv.  
Mr. Altamish Siddiki, Adv.  
Mr. Anjana Ahluwalia, Adv.  
Ms. Anshul duggal, Adv.  
Mr. Nar Hari Singh, AOR

Mr. Abhik Kr, Adv.  
Mr. Sddhartha Shanaka Ray, Adv.  
Mr. Rinku Mathur, Adv.  
Ms. Kamakshi S. Mathur, Adv.

Mr. Anip Sachthey, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Tanuj Khurana, Adv.  
Mr. Nar Hari Singh, AOR  
Ms. Anjali Chauhan, Adv.  
Ms. Ria Sachthey, Adv.

Mr. Meet Malhotra, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Pratap Shanker, Adv.  
Mr. Ravi S. S. Chauhan, Adv.  
Mr. Swetank Shantanu, AOR  
Ms. Shilpi Shrivastava, Adv.  
Mr. Sanjiv Choudhary, Adv.

Ms. Palak Singh, Adv.

Mr. Mohinder Jit Singh Rupal, AOR

Mr. Sachin Duta, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Prang Newmai, Adv.

Mr. Anurag Mathur, Adv.

Mr. Hardik Rupal, Adv.

Mr. Kausik Ghosh, Adv.

Ms. Aditi Shastri, Adv.

Mr.K.K. Rai, Sr. Adv.

Ms. T. Archana, AOR

Mr. S.M. Deendayalan, Adv.

Mr. Ashsul Rai, Adv.

Sh. Chandrashekhar A. Chakalabbi, Adv.

Mr. Ramkrishna Veerendra, Adv.

Mr. D.P.S. Rajesh, Adv.

Mr. Awanish Kr, AOR

Mr.S.K. Pandey, Adv.

Mr. A.K. Gupta, Adv.

Ms. Abha R. Sharma, Adv.

Ms. Sujeeta Srivastava, AOR

Mr. D.S. Parmar, Adv.

Mr. Ankit Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Pawan Upadhyay, Adv.

Mr. Sarvjit Pratap Singh, Adv.

Mr. Anirudh Kr. Singh, Adv.

UNUC Legal LLP, AOR

Mr. Satyajit A. Desai, Adv.

Mr. Anagha S. Desai, Adv.

Ms. Geeta Luthra, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Anjana Ahluwalia, Adv.

Mr. Attev K. Mathur, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Monga, Adv.

Mr. Devmani Bansal, Adv.

Mr. Vikas Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, AOR

Mr. Arjun Harkauli, AOR

Mr. Anshuman Gargesh, Adg.

Ms. Arunima Bishnoi, Adv.

Mr. Amar Nath Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, AOR

Mr. Kamlesh Kumar Maurya, Adv.

Mr. Santosh Kr. Sahu, Adv.

Mr. Shaurya Sahay, Adv.  
Mr. Chetan Joshi, Adv.  
for Amit Kumar, AOR

Mr. Avishkar Singhbvi, Adv.  
Mr. Suhaan Mukherji, Adv.  
Ms. Astha Sharma, Advocate  
Mr. Amit Verma, Adv.  
Mr. Nipun Katyal, Adv.  
Mr. PLR Chambers, AOR

Mr. Yateendra Singh Jafa, Adv.  
Mr. Rahul Pratap, AOR

Mr. Arvind Kumar Gupta, AOR

Mr. Santosh Kumar-I, AOR

Mr. Vikas Mehta, AOR

Dr. Atul Singh, Adv  
Mr. Satpal Singh, AOR

Mr. Santosh Kr. I  
Mr. Ganesh Khanna, Adv.  
Mr. Satyabeer Singh, Adv.

Mr. D. S. Chauhan, AOR

Ms. Anagha S. Desai, AOR

Mr. Mayank Goel, AOR

Mr. Abhijat P. Medh, AOR

Mr. Krishna Kr. Singh, Adv.  
Mr. R. Santhanan Krishna, Adv.  
Mr. C. S. N. Mohan Rao, AOR

Mr. Aditya Ranjan, AOR

Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR

Ms. Romy Chacko, AOR  
Mr. Prashant Kr, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Item 7. SLP (C) No(s).18044/2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Addl.

Solicitor General for Respondents.

The learned ASG invited our attention to paragraphs 3.7 to 3.11 of the counter affidavit filed in the present matter which indicate inter alia that the petitioner and two others had represented to the respondent No.3 that they did not receive any specific instructions to submit an option in terms of Officer Order No.54 after their regularization and they desired to continue to be under CPF Scheme. Pursuant to such request, the matter was referred to 3<sup>rd</sup> Meeting of Committee on Administration which was held on 7<sup>th</sup>, 8<sup>th</sup> and 9<sup>th</sup> September, 1987 which considered the issue. This was later approved by the 60<sup>th</sup> Executive Council in its meeting held on 29.10.1987. Accordingly, the Accounts Section was instructed to permit the petitioner and two others to continue under CPF scheme w.e.f. 1.4.1985 and consequently, the contributions were also maintained in the appropriate registers after 1.4.1988.

These assertions made in the counter affidavit are not even attempted to be denied in the affidavit in rejoinder.

In the circumstances, we see no reason to interfere in the matter. The special leave petition is dismissed.

Pending applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

Item 7.1. to Item 7.7

List on 4.9.2019 as Part-heard.

(INDU MARWAH)  
COURT MASTER

(R.S. NARAYANAN)  
COURT MASTER