

ITEM NO.24

COURT NO.5

SECTION IV-B

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 12103/2017

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 30-09-2016 in LPA No. 1397/2015 passed by the High Court Of Punjab & Haryana At Chandigarh)

BALDEV SINGH AND ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 18220/2017 (IV-B)

SLP(C) No. 13475/2017 (IV-B)

SLP(C) No. 27049/2017 (IV-B)

(IA No.80886/2017-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING and IA No.80888/2017-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.80887/2017-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN REFILEING and IA No.80889/2017-PERMISSION TO FILE LENGTHY LIST OF DATES and IA No.80890/2017-PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS)

SLP(C) No. 43/2018 (IV-B)

Date : 30-01-2018 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MOHAN M. SHANTANAGOUDAR

Counsel for the
parties

Mr. Mithilesh Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mrs. Manjri Singh, Adv.
Mr. Tarun Verma, Adv.

Mr. Puneet Kansal, Adv.
Mr. Saurabh Mishra, AOR

Mr. Vivek Salathia, Adv.
Mr. Mukesh Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mr. Sagar Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Rajiv Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Amit, Adv.
Ms. Vani Vyas, Adv.
Mr. Purushottam Sharma Tripathi, AOR

Ms. Uttara Babbar, Adv.
Ms. Akanksha Choudhary, Adv.
Ms. Bhavana Duhoon, Adv.

Mr. Sangram S. Saron, Adv.
Mr. Shree Pal Singh, AOR

Mr. Gopal Singh, AOR

Mr. Chandan Kumar, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard the learned counsel for the parties at length.

Having regard to the fact that the appointments have also been made from a list prepared based on the marks obtained in the written test and the viva-voce, we find it extremely difficult to appreciate the contention now taken by the learned counsel that the marks in interview should be altogether eliminated and the selection should be based only on the written test.

It is also to be noted that none of the petitioners has a case that anyone less meritorious, going by the select list already prepared by the Committee constituted as per the directions of the High Court, has been selected. Still further, it is to be noted that a subsequent selection in the year 2008 has been conducted.

For the above reasons, we find no merit in these Special Leave Petitions, which are, accordingly, dismissed.

Pending Interlocutory Applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(JAYANT KUMAR ARORA)
COURT MASTER

(RENU DIWAN)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR