

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 16369/2014

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 18-04-2013
in WA No. 520/2013 passed by the High Court Of Judicature At
Madras)THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT PUBLIC WORKS
DEPARTMENT & ANR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M.K.CHITHIRAI SELVAN

Respondent(s)

Date : 08-09-2017 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALITFor Petitioner(s) Ms. Maitreyee Mishra, Adv.
Mr. K.V.Vijayakumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed order.

(MADHU BALA)
COURT MASTER (SH)
(Signed order is placed on the file)(PARVEEN KUMARI PASRICHA)
BRANCH OFFICER

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.11887 OF 2017
(@ SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 16369 OF 2014)

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT PUBLIC WORKS
DEPARTMENT & ANR

...APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

M.K.CHITHIRAI SELVAN

...RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

Leave granted.

We have heard learned counsel for the appellants.

It is submitted that the High Court has not considered the fact that Kannan, who was given promotion, had the requisite qualification while the respondent - original writ petitioner, who was not allowed promotion, did not have the qualification at par with Kannan.

In view of above, we set aside the impugned order and remand the matter to the High Court for a fresh decision in accordance with law.

The appeal is, accordingly, disposed of.

.....J.
[ADARSH KUMAR GOEL]

NEW DELHI
8TH SEPTEMBER, 2017

.....J.
[UDAY UMESH LALIT]