

ITEM NO.30

COURT NO.2
S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

SECTION X

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C)...CC No(s).3094/2017
(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 18/04/2016
in AFO No. 137/2015 07/10/2016 in AFO No. 137/2015 07/10/2016 in
MCC No.395/2016 passed by the High Court of Uttarakhand at
Nainital)

RUCHI MINOCHA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DEPUTY DIRECTOR, DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT,
ZONAL OFFICE, CHANDIGARH

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)

WITH

S.L.P.(C)...CC No. 3347/2017

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and Office Report)

S.L.P.(C)...CC No. 3374/2017

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and Office Report)

S.L.P.(C)...CC No. 3377/2017

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and Office Report)

Date : 17/02/2017 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPAK MISRA

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE R. BANUMATHI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MOHAN M. SHANTANAGOUDAR

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Rupesh Kumar, Adv.

Mrs. Pankhuri Shrivastava, Adv.

Mr. Amarjeet Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Delay condoned.

Heard Mr.Rupesh Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner and
Mr.Ranjit Kumar, learned Solicitor General who assisted us.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties we are of the considered opinion that the High Court should have condoned the delay of 33 days and directed the restoration of the appeals dismissed by it. In view of the aforesaid, the order passed by the High Court is set aside and the appeals dismissed by it stand restored.

The special leave petitions are accordingly allowed. No order as to costs.

(Ashok Raj Singh)
Court Master

(H.S. Parasher)
Court Master