

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Transfer Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 646/2017

NIHARIKA DEEP

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PIUSH KUMAR

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for ad-interim ex-parte stay)

Date : 25/04/2017 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MISHRA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAVA ROY  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAULFor Petitioner(s) Mr. Fauzia Shakil, Adv.  
Mr. Ujjwal Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

No ground for transfer is made out. The Transfer  
Petition is accordingly dismissed.

Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed  
of.

However, in view of the decision rendered by this  
court on 9<sup>th</sup> March, 2017 in T.P.(C) No. 1912 of 2014  
titled Krishna Veni Nagam vs. Harish Nigam, the  
petitioner is at liberty to pray to the concerned court  
for participating in the proceedings by video  
conferencing and also to claim expenditure when  
petitioner(wife) is required to travel. In case she  
wants mediation, the concerned court shall make endeavour  
in that direction too.

(NEELAM GULATI)  
COURT MASTER(TAPAN KR. CHAKRABORTY)  
COURT MASTER