

REVISED

ITEM NO.24

COURT NO.12

SECTION XVIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Transfer Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 881/2017

GODAVARI CORPORATION PVT LTD AND ORS

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

EDELWEISS ASSET RECONSTRUCTION CO LTD AND ORS
(with appln. (s) for stay)

Respondent(s)

Date : 08/05/2017 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MISHRA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAVA ROY

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, Adv.
Mr. Kush Chaturvedi, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

No ground for transfer is made out. The Transfer
Petition is accordingly dismissed.

Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed
of.

However, in view of the decision rendered by this
court on 9th March, 2017 in T.P.(C) No. 1912 of 2014
titled Krishna Veni Nagam vs. Harish Nigam, it is open to
the petitioner is at liberty to pray to the concerned
court for participating in the proceedings by video
conferencing.

(NEELAM GULATI)
COURT MASTER

(TAPAN KR. CHAKRABORTY)
COURT MASTER

ITEM NO.24

COURT NO.12

SECTION XVIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Transfer Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 881/2017

GODAVARI CORPORATION PVT LTD AND ORS

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

EDELWEISS ASSET RECONSTRUCTION CO LTD AND ORS
(with appln. (s) for stay)

Respondent(s)

Date : 08/05/2017 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAVA ROY

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Kush Chaturvedi, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

No ground for transfer is made out. The Transfer
Petition is accordingly dismissed.

Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed
of.

However, in view of the decision rendered by this
court on 9th March, 2017 in T.P.(C) No. 1912 of 2014
titled Krishna Veni Nagam vs. Harish Nigam, it is open to
the petitioner is at liberty to pray to the concerned
court for participating in the proceedings by video
conferencing and also to claim expenditure when
petitioner(wife) is required to travel. In case she
wants mediation, the court shall make endeavour in that
direction too.

(NEELAM GULATI)
COURT MASTER

(TAPAN KR. CHAKRABORTY)
COURT MASTER