

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 10740 of 2017

DR HEMANT S/O MANILAL SHAH & ANR.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

M/S ZENAL CONSTRUCTION PRIVATE LIMITED & ANR.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

We have heard Ms. Vibha Dutta Makhija, learned senior counsel for the appellants.

The contention raised on behalf of the appellants is that the question whether the transaction in question was "exclusively for the purposes of earning his livelihood by means of self-employment" has not been gone into by the National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission. We are of the view that this submission ought to be gone into on merits by the National Commission.

We permit the appellants to move the National Commission again so that the above contention can be examined by it in accordance with law on merits by a reasoned order.

The appeal is accordingly disposed of.

Since this order is being passed ex-parte, the respondent is at liberty to move this Court, if aggrieved.

.....J.
(ADARSH KUMAR GOEL)

.....J.
(UDAY UMESH LALIT)

New Delhi,
October 23, 2017.

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G SCivil Appeal No(s). 10740/2017

DR HEMANT S/O MANILAL SHAH & ANR.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

M/S ZENAL CONSTRUCTION PRIVATE LIMITED & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(IA No.60459/2017-EXEMP.FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

Date : 23-10-2017 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Appellant(s)

Ms. Vibha Dutta Makhija, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Saurabh T., Adv.

Mr. Satyajit A. Desai, Adv.

Ms. Anagha S. Desai, AOR

Ms. Disha Vaish, Adv.

Mr. Nath Mohan Prafulla, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

In terms of the signed order, the appeal is disposed of.

(MAHABIR SINGH)

COURT MASTER

(PARVEEN KUMARI PASRICHA)

COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)