



appellant, had accepted the appointment on contract basis and at that very time it had been made clear to them that there would be no right of absorption/regularization. The tribunal also noted that the applicants were participating in the recruitment process which was already underway.

2. The High Court found no reason to interfere therewith.

3. It was noticed by the High Court that at the time of appointment the appellant did not possess the required qualification, i.e., the National Eligibility Test. However, her prayer made later was to regularise the appointment from the date of initial appointment. This, it was observed, could not be done since the minimum eligibility criteria were not met on the date of initial appointment.

4. That apart, it had been noted that right from the beginning the appellant knew there to be no right in her favour to get a permanent position. It is a well-settled position in law that the same cannot be claimed as a matter of right. Further, it is undisputed that she had acknowledged her position as a temporary employee and thereby participated in the recruitment process, as noted by the Tribunal.

5. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties, we are of the view, that in view of facts referred to earlier, no ground for interference in the order of High Court as also the Tribunal is made

out. As such, Civil Appeal No.18835/2017 is dismissed. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

6. Since the Civil Appeal No.18836 of 2017 impugns the same judgment and order of the High Court, as also the Tribunal and is placed similarly to the appellant in Civil Appeal No.18835 of 2017, the same, in view of the above-noted observations, also stands dismissed accordingly. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

..... **J.**  
**[ J.K. MAHESHWARI ]**

..... **J.**  
**[ SANJAY KAROL ]**

**New Delhi;**  
**February 07, 2024.**

ITEM NO.114

COURT NO.9

SECTION XII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No(s). 18835/2017

R ANGAYARKANI

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT, UOI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 204082/2022 - CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION)

WITH

C.A. No. 18836/2017 (XII)

Date : 07-02-2024 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.K. MAHESHWARI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KAROL

For Appellant(s) Mr. V. Ramasubramanian, Adv.  
Mr. A. Lakshminarayanan, AOR  
Mr. Abbas, B, Adv.  
Mr. M. A. Murthuza Ali, Adv.

Mr. G. Sivabalamurugan, AOR  
Mr. Selvaraj Mahendran, Adv.  
Mr. C. Adhikesavan, Adv.  
Mr. Sumit, Adv.  
Mr. P. V. Harikrishnan, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Aravindh S., AOR  
Mr. Abbas, Adv.

Mr. Hrishikesh Baruah, AOR  
Mr. Saumitra Srivastava, Adv.  
Mr. Kumar Kshitij, Adv.  
Ms. Radhika Gupta, Adv.  
Mr. Kumar Kshiti J., Adv.  
Mr. Anurag Mishra, Adv.

**UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R**

The appeals stand dismissed in terms of the signed order.

Pending interlocutory application(s), if any, is/are disposed of.

**(RASHMI DHYANI PANT)  
COURT MASTER (SH)**

**(VIRENDER SINGH)  
BRANCH OFFICER**

**(signed order is placed on the file)**