



in the way of a proper consideration of a bail application by the petitioner.

In respect of the aforesaid, the contention of learned counsel is that the father of the petitioner Mr. Sunil Jawar, was the one who participated in the auction and purchased the properties in question by giving bids which are alleged to be of extremely low value and the allegation is of collusion with the Liquidator. The petitioner before us is the son of Mr. Sunil Jawar i.e. Suraj Sunil Jawar and the role assigned to him is of a purported deed writer in case of an electronic bidding. His further submission is that the mere fact that the father operates the business under the same name of a partnership while the petitioner operates it in the name of a limited company, itself cannot be a factor to rope in the petitioner. It is his further submission that the two businesses are different in nature.

We would not like to comment on the merits of the aforesaid as that would be matter of investigation but the fact remains that the petitioner is stated to be in custody for the last three months. In this time, the investigation must have progressed.

On recording of the aforesaid facts, we are of the view that the proper course of action would be as under:

- 1) The petitioner is at liberty to apply for bail before the competent Court;
- 2) On the bail application being filed, the same will be disposed of by the trial Court within a week of the same

being filed;

- 3) The observations made in a rather elaborate order which has been impugned before us only dealing with the issue of police remand would not in any manner prejudice the case of the petitioner.

The Special Leave Petition is dismissed with the aforesaid directions.

Pending applications stand disposed of.

(ASHA SUNDRIYAL)  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(POONAM VAID)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)



petitioner.

In respect of the aforesaid, the contention of learned counsel is that the father of the petitioner Mr. Sunil Jawar, was the one who participated in the auction and purchased the properties in question by giving bids which are alleged to be of extremely low value and the allegation is of collusion with the Liquidator. The petitioner before us is the son of Mr. Sunil Jawar i.e. Suraj Sunil Jawar and the role assigned to him is of a purported deed writer in case of an electronic bidding. His further submission is that the mere fact that the father operates the business under the same name of a partnership while the petitioner operates it in the name of a limited company, itself cannot be a factor to rope in the petitioner. It is his further submission that the two businesses are different in nature.

We would not like to comment on the merits of the aforesaid as that would be matter of investigation but the fact remains that the petitioner is stated to be in custody for the last three months. In this time, the investigation must have progressed.

On recording of the aforesaid facts, we are of the view that the proper course of action would be as under:

- 1) The petitioner is at liberty to apply for bail before the competent Court;
- 2) On the bail application being filed, the same will be disposed of by the trial Court within a week of the same being filed;

- 3) The observations made in a rather elaborate order which has been impugned before us only dealing with the issue of police remand would not in any manner prejudice the case of the petitioner.

The Special Leave Petition is dismissed with the aforesaid directions.

Pending applications stand disposed of.

(ASHA SUNDRIYAL)  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(POONAM VAID)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)