

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

R.P.(CRL.) NO. 164/2017 IN CRL.A. NO. 911/2016

SATISH & SAURABH

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

MANVEER

RESPONDENT(S)

(WITH APPLN. (S) FOR PERMISSION TO APPEAR AND ARGUE IN PERSON AND
OFFICE REPORT)

Date : 05/04/2017 This petition was circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAFULLA C. PANT

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

Permission to appear and argue in person is
rejected.

The review petition is dismissed in terms of the
signed order.

[VINOD LAKHINA]
COURT MASTER

[ASHA SONI]
COURT MASTER

[SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (CRIMINAL) NO. 164/2017
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 911/2016

SATISH & SAURABH PETITIONER

VERSUS

MANVEER RESPONDENT

ORDER

This Review Petition has been filed against the order dated 23rd September, 2016 whereby the appeal was allowed.

Permission to appear and argue in person is rejected.

We have perused the Review Petition as well as the grounds in support thereof. In our opinion, no case for review of order dated 23rd September, 2016 is made out. Consequently, the review petition is dismissed.

.....,J.
(RANJAN GOGOI)

.....,J.
(PRAFULLA C. PANT)

NEW DELHI
APRIL 05, 2017