

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

ARBITRATION PETITION (C)No.19 OF 2020

VENKATESWARLU VURIMI

... PETITIONER

Versus

LAUNDRY SPOT PRIVATE LIMITED & ANR.

... RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

1. In this petition, filed under Section 11(4) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short, 'the Act'), a prayer has been made by the petitioner for appointment of an Arbitrator in terms of the provisions of the Act for adjudication of the disputes that have arisen between the parties.

2. Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the rival parties and carefully perused the material placed on record.

3. During the course of hearing, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner prays that Hon.Mr.Justice G.V. Seethapathy, a retired Judge of the Andhra Pradesh High Court be appointed as an Arbitrator from the petitioner's side.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents submits that Hon.Mr.Justice A.Rajasheker Reddy, a retired Judge of the Telangana High Court be appointed as an Arbitrator from the respondent's side.

5. Taking into consideration the nature of the controversy and the amount, subject matter of the dispute between the parties, we have enquired from learned counsel for the parties if they would

be willing to appoint a Sole Arbitrator instead of a three-member Arbitral Tribunal for adjudicating upon disputes raised. Both sides have given their consent to the appointment of a Sole Arbitrator with a request that the seat of the arbitration shall remain at Hyderabad, in terms of the contract governing the parties.

6. Accordingly, Justice Challa Kodanda Ram, a retired Judge of the Telangana High Court is appointed as the Sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the disputes between the parties. The seat of the arbitration shall remain at Hyderabad.

7. The learned Sole Arbitrator will proceed with the matter after complying with the provisions of Section 12 of the Act. He is at liberty to fix his own remuneration.

8. The Arbitration Petition stands disposed of on the above terms.

9. As a sequel to the above, the pending interlocutory applications also stand disposed of.

10. The Registry is directed to communicate the instant order to the learned Sole Arbitrator forthwith.

.....CJI.
(N.V. RAMANA)

.....J.
(KRISHNA MURARI)

.....J.
(HIMA KOHLI)

NEW DELHI;
JULY 19, 2022.

ITEM NO.15

COURT NO.1

SECTION XVI-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Arbitration (Civil) No(s).19/2020

VENKATESWARLU VURIMI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

LAUNDRY SPOT PRIVATE LIMITED & ANR.

Respondent(s)

IA No.71192/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED
JUDGMENT

IA No.71271/2020 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT

Date : 19-07-2022 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI

For Petitioner(s) Dr. Amit George, Adv.
Mr. M. Srinivas R.Rao, Adv.
Mr. Abid Ali Beeran P, AOR
Mr. Sarath S. Janardawan, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Bina Madhavan, Adv.
Mr. S.Udaya Kumar Sagar, Adv.
Ms. Rao Vishwaja Rao, Adv.
For M/S. Lawyer S Knit & Co, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. The Arbitration Petition stands disposed of in terms of the signed order.
2. As a sequel to the above, the pending interlocutory applications also stand disposed of.
3. The Registry is directed to communicate the instant order to the learned Sole Arbitrator forthwith.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(R.S. NARAYANAN)
COURT MASTER (NSH)

(Signed order is placed on the file)

