



declaration that the proceedings have lapsed.  
Ordered accordingly.

4. Now that the entire acquired land has been used by the State, the only option available to them is to initiate fresh proceedings under the 2013 Act within six months from today.

5. We make it clear that in case no steps are taken for acquisition under the 2013 Act, the respondent-State shall not lay any claim in respect of the land in dispute.

6. With the above observations and directions, this appeal is disposed of.

No costs."

(2) By Order dated 28<sup>th</sup> January, 2019 the respondent-State of Haryana was granted time to file appropriate affidavit whether the State Government is proceeding with the acquisition under the new Act or not.

(3) Accordingly, the Chief Administrator of Haryana Shahri Vikas Pradhikaran (erstwhile Haryana Urban Development Authority) has filed the Affidavit dated 24<sup>th</sup> February, 2019 stating as under :

"2. This the Haryana Shahari Vikas Pradhikaran (erstwhile Haryana Urban Development Authority) has neither sent any proposal to acquire the land in question under the new Act i.e. 'the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in land

Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013' to State Government of Haryana nor at this point of time has any proposal to acquire the land in question under the said new Act."

(3) Having regard to the stand of the respondent-State of Haryana that the State has no proposal to acquire the land in question under the new Act, we do not find any ground to entertain this contempt petition. Insofar as alleged grievance of the petitioner in respect of mutation and grant of development licence, it is for the petitioner to work out his remedy independently before the concerned authorities which shall consider the same in accordance with law without reference to the acquisition proceedings.

(5) Contempt petition is accordingly disposed of.

(6) We make it clear that we have not expressed any opinion on the merits of the matter.

.....J.  
(R. BANUMATHI)

.....J.  
(R. SUBHASH REDDY)

NEW DELHI,  
FEBRUARY 26, 2019.

ITEM NO.3

COURT NO.7

SECTION IV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

CONTEMPT PETITION(C) No. 139/2019 in C.A. No. 10017/2017

ANSAL PROPERTIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE LTD.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

K. MAKRAND PANDURANG &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION)

Date : 26-02-2019 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE R. BANUMATHI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Rakesh Kumar-I, AOR  
Mr. Chetan Dutt, Adv.  
Mr. Anupam Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Anil Grover, AAG,  
Mr. Ajay Bansal, AAG,  
Mr. Satish Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Gaurava Yadav, Adv.  
Mr. Sanjay Kr. Visen, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

In terms of the signed order, contempt petition is disposed  
of.

Pending applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(MAHABIR SINGH)  
COURT MASTER

(SUNIL KUMAR RAJVANSHI)  
BRANCH OFFICER

(Signed order is placed on the file)