

ITEM NO.23

COURT NO.17

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 7347/2020

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 21-08-2019 in WP No. 860/2016 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay at Aurangabad]

KHANDESH COLLEGE EDUCATION SOCIETY &amp; ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SHAILESH ANAND KULKARNI &amp; ANR.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 100733/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT

IA No. 95428/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT

IA No. 44933/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 66501/2024 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 44936/2020 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/  
ANNEXURESIA No. 41768/2020 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/  
ANNEXURESIA No. 66499/2024 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/  
ANNEXURES

WITH

Diary No(s). 22424/2020 (IX)

IA No. 114030/2020 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING

IA No. 120466/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT

IA No. 114031/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT

IA No. 114032/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED  
JUDGMENTIA No. 120465/2020 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/  
ANNEXURES

Date : 16-04-2025 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ MISRA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN

For Petitioner(s) : Ms. Jaikriti S. Jadeja, AOR

Mr. Uday B. Dube, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Nitin Bhardwaj, AORFor Respondent(s) : Mr. Anshuman Sinha, Adv.  
Mr. Vijay Kumar Pandey, Adv.  
Mr. Udayan Sinha, Adv.  
Ms. Jaikriti S. Jadeja, AOR

Mr. Vinay Prakash, Adv.  
Ms. Pragya Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Hemant More, Adv.  
Mr. Prakar Prakas, Adv.  
Mr. Shivang Goel, Adv.  
Mr. Ishaan Aggarwal, Adv.  
Mr. Ojas Mittal, Adv.

Mr. Omkar Deshpande, Adv.  
Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.  
Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

1. On 29<sup>th</sup> May, 2020, this Court had issued a limited notice to the respondents while observing that it does not propose to interfere with the order of reinstatement of the first respondent but it would examine whether the direction to pay 50% of back wages is justified or not.
2. After some arguments, we requested learned counsel appearing for the respective parties to explore possibility of a settlement *inter se* with the aid of mediation.
3. Both counsel agree that if the matter is referred to the mediation centre of this Court, the parties will make a sincere effort to arrive at a settlement in respect of the amount payable by way of back wages.
4. In view thereof, we direct the parties to appear before the mediation centre of this Court on 30<sup>th</sup> April, 2025. It shall be open to the parties to appear virtually or through their counsel.
5. List the matter after eight weeks alongwith the report of the Mediator, Supreme Court Mediation Centre.

(JATINDER KAUR)  
P.S. to REGISTRAR

(SUDHIR KUMAR SHARMA)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)