

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Transfer Petition(s)(Criminal) No(s). 14/2021

SANJAY SAXENA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

KOTAK MAHINDRA BANK LTD. & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.5130/2021-STAY APPLICATION and IA No.5192/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT)

Date : 25-01-2021 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HRISHIKESH ROY

For Petitioner(s) Sh. Vishal Tiwari, Adv.
Ms. Pooja Giri, Adv.
Sh. Shailendra Mani Tripathi, Adv.
Sh. Abhigya Kushwah, AOR
Ms. Sunita Yadav, Adv.
Sh. Pradeep Kumar Dubey, Adv.
Sh. Siddharth Rajkumar Murarka, Adv.
Ms. Anamika Kushwaha, Adv.
Ms. Nandita Rao, Adv.
Mrs. Mahija Reddy, Adv.
Sh. K.N. Agnihotri, Adv.
Sh. Virender Arora, Adv.
Mr. Abhigya Kushwah, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The petitioner secured a commercial vehicle loan from the respondent-Kotak Mahindra Bank and is facing action under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act on the Complaint of the Bank.

Mr. Vishal Tiwari, the learned counsel submits that loan transaction and all other documentations have taken place in the Bareilly Branch of the Bank and yet they chose to file the

Complaint before the Ludhiana Court.

It is further submitted that the respondent has filed many complaints against other borrowers in the Bareilly Court but they have deliberately filed the proceedings at Ludhiana, only to harass the petitioner.

In view of above, let notice, returnable within four weeks, be issued.

Dasti, in addition, is also permitted.

(RAJNI MUKHI)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(POONAM VAID)
COURT MASTER (NSH)