

ITEM NO.18
SECTION XVI-A

REGISTRAR COURT. 1 THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR SH. RAJESH KUMAR GOEL

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 4607/2021

UNIVERSITY OF JAMMU

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

ZAMINDARAB SUNGLI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.40023/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING
C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

Date : 29-10-2021 This petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Rishabh Sancheti, Adv.
Ms. Padma Priya, Adv.
Mr. Anchit Bhandari, Adv.
Mr. K. Paari Vendhan, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel through Video Conference, the
Court made the following

O R D E R

Ld. Advocate-on-record, Mr. Sahil Tagotra appears for
respondent Nos.2 to 5, 7, 8, 11, 12, 15 to 19, 22, 23, 25,
27 to 37, 40 to 42, 45, 48, 49, 51, 53, 54, 56, 57, 59, 60,
63, 64, 68, 69, 73, 74, 76, 79 and 81 to 83 and submits that
vakalatnama has been filed yesterday. He seeks time for
filing counter affidavit. Be filed within four weeks.

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner pointed out that all the
respondents in the present case have been served through
registered post A.D.. Some of the A.Ds have been received

back with the report and some of the A.Ds have not been received back. He submitted that if notice has been issued through registered post A.D. at the correct address of the respondents as mentioned in the petition, even if same is not received back, then there is a presumption that those respondents deemed to have been served.

In view of the submission made, Registry is directed to make a report in a Tabular form indicating which respondents have been served, from which respondents there is no response and to which respondents, notice was sent through registered post A.D.

List again on 15.12.2021.

RAJESH KUMAR GOEL
Registrar