

ITEM NO.37

Court 3 (Video Conferencing)

SECTION XV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 837-838/2021

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 08-12-2020 in DBCWP No. 12408/2020 08-12-2020 in DBCWP No. 12409/2020 passed by the High Court Of Judicature For Rajasthan At Jaipur)

HET RAM MEEL ETC.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF RAJASTHAN & ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 1063-1071/2021 (XV)

SLP(C) No. 1170-1179/2021 (XV)

SLP(C) No. 5597/2021 (XV)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.48709/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.48710/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT)

SLP(C) No. 14777/2021 (XV)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.119107/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 22-11-2021 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.T. RAVIKUMAR

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. H.D. Thanvi, Adv.
Mr. U.N. Goyal, Adv.
Ms. Achal Singh Bule, Adv.
Mr. Rishi Matoliya, AOR

Mr. Prashant Shukla, Adv.
Mr. Anushree Shukla, Adv.
Ms. Pratibha Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Mayank Gautam, Adv.
Mr. Divyesh Pratap Singh, AOR

Ms. Meenakshi Arora, sr. Adv.
Ms. Monisha Handa, adv.
Mr. Ashutosh Bhatia, Adv.
Mr. Rajul Shrivastav, Adv.
Mr. Mohit D. Ram, AOR

For Respondent(s) Dr. Ashwani Kumar, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Ashish Kumar, AG
 Mr. Sushil Kumar Singh, Adv.
 Mr. Raushan Tara, Adv.
 Ms. Mehak Kanwar, adv.
 Ms. Harsha Vinoy, Adv.
 Mr. Milind Kumar, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
 O R D E R

Leave granted.

As short question of law is involved, list these matters in the third week of April, 2022 (within first five matters).

As regards the interim relief, this Court vide order dated 25.01.2021 directed the respondents to refrain from taking coercive steps with regard to the subject matter of this petition qua the appellant(s) till the next date.

As we have granted leave till the disposal of the appeal, by way of interim arrangement, we direct that no coercive action be taken against the appellant(s) in reference to the demand arising from the impugned policy.

However, the appellant(s) must pay the demanded amount without prejudice which will be subject to the outcome of these appeals.

(DEEPAK SINGH)
 COURT MASTER (SH)

(VIDYA NEGI)
 COURT MASTER (NSH)