

the Juvenile Justice Board on juvenility in favour of the Respondent No.1-accused. However, the same is subject-matter before the High Court by way of CRR No. 4092/2016.

Under the circumstances, it cannot be said that, at this stage, the High Court has committed any error in releasing the Respondent No.1 on bail. However, considering the fact that the offence alleged against the respondent is a very serious one, namely, for the offence punishable under Section 302 IPC and if ultimately the CRR No. 4092/2016 is allowed and the question of juvenility is held against the respondent-accused in that case, there shall be great prejudice to the petitioner.

Under the circumstances, we request the High Court to first decide and dispose of CRR No. 4092/2016 as early as possible, but not later than 31.12.2021. If the CRR No. 4092/2016 is allowed and the question of juvenility is held against the respondent No.1-accused, the bail granted by the High Court by the impugned order shall stand cancelled. However, in case the CRR No. 4092/2016 is dismissed and the question of juvenility is held in favour of the respondent No.1-accused, the bail granted by the High Court impugned in the present Special Leave Petition shall continue to remain in operation.

With the aforesaid observation, the present Special Leave Petition is disposed of.

Pending applications also stand disposed of.

(R. NATARAJAN)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(NISHA TRIPATHI)
BRANCH OFFICER