

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 511/2004

INDORE CONSUMER FORUM (REGD.) & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date : 24-07-2017 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL
[IN CHAMBERS]

For Petitioner(s) Mrs. Nanita Sharma, AOR
Mr. Vivek Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Nitesh Shakhar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Gopal Singh, AOR
Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.
Mr. Kumar Milind, Adv.
Mr. Shalainder Kumar, Adv.

Ms. Tanya Chaudhry, Adv.
M/s. Parekh & Co.

Ms. Anushree Menon, Adv.
Mr. Vikas Mehta, Adv.

Mr. Atul Jha, Adv.
Mr. Sandeep Jha, Adv.
Mr. Dharmendra Kumar Sinha, Adv.

Mr. K.V. Jagdishvaran, Adv.
Mrs. G. Indira, Adv.

Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv.
Mr. Avneesh Arputham, Adv.
Ms. Anuradha Arputham, Adv.
Mr. Amit Arora, Adv.

Mr. Sachin Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Aviral Saxena, Adv.
Mr. Ashutosh Kumar Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Vinay Garg, Adv.
Mr. J.K. Bhatia, Adv.

Mrs. K. Enatoli Sema, Adv.
Mr. Edward Belho, Adv.

Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mr. K. Luikang Michael, Adv.
Ms. Elix Gangmei, Adv.
Mr. Z.H. Issac Haiding, Adv.

Mr. Arun K. Sinha, Adv.
Mr. Anil Katiyar, Adv.

Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.
Ms. Kamini Jaiswal, Adv.
Mr. Naresh K. Sharma, Adv.
Mr. R. Sathish, Adv.
Ms. Tara Chandra Sharma, Adv.
Mr. M. T. George, Adv.
Mr. Arputham Aruna And Co, Adv.
Mr. D. S. Mahra, Adv.
Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.
Mr. V. G. Pragasam, Adv.
Mr. B. S. Banthia, Adv.
Ms. Radha Shyam Jena, Adv.
Mr. Anil Shrivastav, Adv.
Mr. D. Mahesh Babu, Adv.
Mr. Sibbo Sankar Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Abhijit Sengupta, Adv.
Raj Singh Rana, Adv.
M/s Corporate Law Group
Mr. Rajesh Srivastava, Adv.
Mr. Jatinder Kumar Bhatia, Adv.

Mr. Abhishth Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Ansar Ahmad Chaudhary, Adv.

Ms. Sushma Suri, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Learned counsel for the petitioners has failed to act in pursuance to the request made on 18.11.2016, seeking deletion of the name of respondent No. 40 from the array of parties. Learned counsel for the petitioners, prior to that, has not taken any steps to ensure service on the said respondent.

The only order passed in such a circumstance would be that no orders in the present proceedings would affect respondent No. 40.

(SONALI SAUND)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(SAROJ KUMARI GAUR)
COURT MASTER