

ITEM NO.12+32 Court 8 (Video Conferencing) SECTION XII-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Item No.12

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 5718/2021

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 29-04-2019 in PIL No. 46/2019 11-02-2021 in WP(PIL) No. 46/2019 passed by the High Court For The State Of Telangana At Hyderabad)

DR. URMILA PINGLE & ANR. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF TELANGANA & ORS. Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.33513/2021-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING and IA No.33514/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.33515/2021-PERMISSION TO FILE LENGTHY LIST OF DATES )

Item No.32

SLP (C) No.4155/2021

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.35059/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT.....[TO BE TAKEN UP ALONG WITH ITEM NO. 12 I.E. Diary No. 5718/2021] )

Date : 12-03-2021 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT GUPTA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. P.S. Patwalia, Sr. Adv.  
SLP Diary No.5718/2021 Miss Bhabna Das, AOR  
Mr. N. Sai Kaushal, Adv.

SLP (C) No.4155/2021 Mr. Gaurav Agarwal, Adv.  
Mr. Harsh Parashar, AOR  
Ms. Rachna Reddy, Adv.  
Mr. Chanakya Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

IA No.33513/2021-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING

The delay, though is extraordinary, is condoned on the plea that the cause for making the prayer in question arose as construction began later.

SLP (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 5718/2021

After some arguments, learned senior counsel for the petitioner(s) seeks to withdraw the Special Leave Petition(s) as he submits that he will endeavour to persuade the High Court to either grant some relief or pre-pone the date of hearing.

That is, in our view, matter for the High Court to consider.

The Special Leave Petition(s) is/are dismissed as withdrawn.

SLP (C) No.4155/2021

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that while other connected petitions were entertained, the present petition was dismissed on the ground that one matter would be treated as a lead matter.

We put to learned counsel whether there was any additional material in this petition which would be required for consideration. Learned counsel submits that since he is not aware of the material placed on record in other petitions, he would not be able to answer this question.

In our view, the appropriate course of action would be for the petitioner to move the High Court for seeking recall of the impugned order if they have placed some additional material on record or make a prayer where they may be permitted to intervene in the main matter.

The Special Leave Petition is dismissed with the aforesaid liberty.

[ASHA SUNDRIYAL]  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

[POONAM VAID]  
COURT MASTER (NSH)