

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATION DIARY NO(S). 5710/2021

IN

CIVIL APPEAL D. NO. 10275 OF 2019

EX NAIK RAJEEVAN, I.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Delay in filing the application for restoration is condoned. The application for restoration is allowed. Consequently, the appeal(s) is/are restored to its original number.

Permission to file appeal(s) is granted.

Heard the learned counsel for the appellant.

Delay in filing the appeal(s) is condoned.

We find no ground to interfere with the impugned order(s) passed by the Tribunal. The appeal(s) is/are, accordingly, dismissed on merits.

Pending interlocutory application(s), if any, is/are disposed of.

.....J.  
[ ROHINTON FALI NARIMAN ]

.....J.  
[ K.M. JOSEPH ]

.....J.  
[ B.R. GAVAI ]

New Delhi;  
June 28, 2021.

ITEM NO.1 Court 2 (Video Conferencing)

SECTION XVII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATION Diary No(s). 5710/2021 in D. No. 10275 of  
2019

EX NAIK RAJEEVAN

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 31235/2021 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING APPLICATION FOR  
RESTORATION) (IA No. 31233/2021 - RESTORATION)

Date : 28-06-2021 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHINTON FALI NARIMAN  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.M. JOSEPH  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.R. GAVAI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Kaleeswaram Raj, Adv.  
Mr. Nishe Rajen Shonker, AOR  
Ms. Varun C. Vijay, Adv.  
Ms. Thulasi K. Raj, Adv.  
Ms. Maitreyi S. Hegde, Adv.  
Ms. Uttara P. V., Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Delay in filing the application for restoration is condoned.  
The application for restoration is allowed. Consequently, the  
appeal(s) is/are restored to its original number.

Permission to file appeal(s) is granted. Heard the learned  
counsel for the appellant. Delay in filing the appeal(s) is  
condoned. We find no ground to interfere with the impugned  
order(s) passed by the Tribunal. The appeal(s) is/are,  
accordingly, dismissed on merits. Pending interlocutory  
application(s), if any, is/are disposed of.

(JAYANT KUMAR ARORA)  
COURT MASTER

(NISHA TRIPATHI)  
BRANCH OFFICER

(Signed order is placed on the file)