

ITEM NO.7

Court 4 (VC)

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition (Civil) No.675/2020

NARINDER KUMAR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF HIMACHAL PRADESH & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for exemption from filing O.T.)

Date : 04-08-2020 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.M. JOSEPH

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Subhro Sanyal, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

- 1 At the present stage no adverse action has been initiated against the appointment of the petitioner so as to warrant the invocation of the jurisdiction of this Court.
- 2 Moreover, even assuming that the petitioner has a grievance, he has an efficacious remedy under Article 226 of the Constitution before the High Court. Leaving it open to the petitioner to do pursue his remedies in law, we dispose of the writ petition. This court has had no occasion to adjudicate upon the merits of the grievance which has been raised by the petitioner in these proceedings.
- 3 The Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of.

(CHETAN KUMAR)  
AR-CUM-PS

(SAROJ KUMARI GAUR)  
BRANCH OFFICER