

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No(s).3233/2017

HAPPY INSURANCE TPA SERVICES PVT. LTD.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

INSURANCE REGULATORY AND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY  
OF INDIA

Respondent(s)

(FOR EX-PARTE STAY ON IA 1/2017  
FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT ON IA 2/2017  
FOR PERMISSION TO FILE SYNOPSIS AND LIST OF DATES ON IA 3/2017  
FOR [PERMISSION TO FILE ANNEXURES] ON IA 4/2017)  
WITH  
ITEM NO.52.1 - CONMT.PET.(C) No.942/2017 in C.A. No.3233/2017  
(XVII)

Date : 20-11-2017 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR  
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD

For Appellant(s) Mr. Krishnan Venugopal, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Sunil Fernandes, adv. [AOR]  
Ms. Astha Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Karan Khanna, Adv.  
Mr. Arjun Aggarwal, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Rana Mukherjee, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Dipak K. Nag, Adv.  
Mr. Ekansh Bansal, Adv.  
Mr. Parmanand Gaur, Adv. [AOR]  
Ms. Kasturika Kaumudi, Adv.  
Ms. Sreoshi Chatterjee, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

C.A.No.3233 of 2017

The appeal stands disposed of in terms of the signed order.

Contempt Petition (C)No.942 of 2017 in C.A.No.3233 of 2017

The contempt petition is permitted to be withdrawn.

(Subhash Chander)  
AR-cum-PS

(H.S. Parasher)  
Assistant Registrar

[Signed Order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.3233 OF 2017

Happy Insurance TPA Services Pvt. Ltd.

....Appellant

Versus

Insurance Regulatory Development  
Authority of India

....Respondent

W I T H

CONTEMPT PETITION(C)NO.942 OF 2017

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.3233 OF 2017

O R D E R

C.A.No.3233 of 2017

Heard Mr. Krishnan Venugopal, learned senior counsel for the appellant and Mr. Rana Mukherjee, learned senior counsel for the respondent.

Considering the totality of circumstances, we are only inclined to grant liberty to the appellant to submit a fresh proposal with regard to its financial status which shall be considered by the State authority in accordance with law.

We may clearly state that we have not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case. The proposal be submitted along with particulars as put forth before this Court within six weeks hence. A reasoned order shall be passed by the respondent within six weeks therefrom.

As an assertion has been made, the respondent-authority shall take note of the fact that in certain cases different orders have been made.

In any case, we leave it to the discretion of the respondent.

The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

Contempt Petition (C)No.942 of 2017 in  
C.A.No.3233 of 2017

Mr. Krishnan Venugopal, learned senior counsel for the appellant seeks permission to withdraw the contempt petition.

It is accordingly permitted to be withdrawn.

.....CJI.  
[DIPAK MISRA]

.....J.  
[A.M. KHANWILKAR]

.....J.  
[Dr. D.Y. CHANDRACHUD]

New Delhi.  
November 20, 2017.