

ITEM NO.20

COURT NO.2

SECTION II-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (CrL.) No(s).1735/2020

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 16-01-2020 in ABA No.114/2020 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay)

SITARAM GAJABA JADHAV & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

Respondent(s)

(IA No.35172/2020-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.35174/2020-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 02-03-2020 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. RAMASUBRAMANIAN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Nitin Lonkar, Adv.
Ms. Sonali Suryawanshi, Adv.
Ms. Sakshi Banga, Adv.
Mr. Alok Mohan, Adv.
Mr. Shankey Agrawal, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

This petition is directed against order dated 16.01.2020 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay in Criminal Anticipatory Bail Application No.114 of 2020 whereby the High Court dismissed the anticipatory bail application filed by the petitioners herein.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioners and perusing the material placed before us, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order passed by the High Court in exercise of our jurisdiction under Article 136 of the Constitution.

The special leave petition is accordingly dismissed.

.....2/-

As a sequel to the above, pending interlocutory applications also stand disposed of.

If the petitioners surrender before the concerned trial court and file an application seeking enlargement on bail, the trial court is directed to consider the same in accordance with law and dispose of the same expeditiously.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
AR-CUM-PS

(RAJ RANI NEGI)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR