

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 464 OF 2017

R.K. RAO ..... APPELLANT(S)  
VERSUS  
STATE OF UT CHANDIGARH & ANR. .... RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

We do not find any good ground and reason to interfere with the order deciding the application under Section 319 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.

However, the impugned order and the order of the trial Court are not to be read as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

The appellant, R.K. Rao, would be entitled to raise all pleas and contentions at the time of arguments on framing of the charge, including the contention that the accused who were charge-sheeted have been acquitted though an appeal or a petition seeking leave to appeal is pending before the High Court.

Recording the aforesaid and without making any comment on merits, the appeal is dismissed.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....CJI  
(SANJIV KHANNA)

.....J.  
(SANJAY KUMAR)

NEW DELHI;  
MAY 08, 2025.

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G SCRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 464 OF 2017

R.K. RAO ..... APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF UT CHANDIGARH &amp; ANR. .... RESPONDENT(S)

(IA No. 3112/2017 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 08-05-2025 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

For Appellant(s) :

Mr. Shri Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Supriya Juneja, AOR  
Ms. Arya Suresh, Adv.  
Ms. Malvika Awasthi, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

Mr. Vikramjit Banerjee, A.S.G.  
Mr. Varun Chugh, Adv.  
Mr. Krishna Kant Dubey, Adv.  
Mr. Shreekant Neelappa Terdal, AOR  
Mr. Bhuvan Kapoor, Adv.UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed, in terms of the signed order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUGLANI)  
AR-cum-PS(R.S. NARAYANAN)  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

(signed order is placed on the file)