

ITEM NO.62

COURT NO.16

SECTION IV-C

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 28696/2019

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 09-10-2019 in MFA No. 7690/2019 passed by the High Court of Karnataka at Bengaluru]

M/S UNIQUE DETECTIVE AND SECURITY  
SERVICES PVT. LTD. & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RAMACHANDRA S.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 186239/2019 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.  
WITH  
SLP(C) No. 13714/2020 (IV-C)

SLP(C) No. 1585/2021 (IV-A)

SLP(C) No. 3061/2021 (IV-A)

SLP(C) No. 3317/2021 (IV-A)

SLP(C) No. 5631/2021 (IV-A)

SLP(C) No. 10588/2020 (IV-C)

SLP(C) No. 10715/2020 (IV-C)

IA No. 11209/2021 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS

SLP(C) No. 14090/2020 (IV-C)

SLP(C) No. 14046/2020 (IV-C)

SLP(C) No. 14480/2020 (IV-C)

SLP(C) No. 839/2021 (IV-A)

SLP(C) No. 873/2021 (IV-A)

SLP(C) No. 1694/2021 (IV-A)

SLP(C) No. 2201/2021 (IV-A)

IA No. 154103/2024 - APPLICATION FOR CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING  
THE APPLICATION FOR SETTING ASIDE THE ABATEMENT

IA No. 146036/2024 - APPLICATION FOR SUBSTITUTION

IA No. 146037/2024 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING SUBSTITUTION  
APPLN.

IA No. 146038/2024 - SETTING ASIDE AN ABATEMENT  
SLP(C) No. 3092/2021 (IV-A)  
SLP(C) No. 4780/2021 (IV-A)

Date : 16-02-2026 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRASHANT KUMAR MISHRA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. ANJARIA

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr. Shanthkumar V. Mahale, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Shreyas Shanth Mahale, Adv.  
Mr. Prashanth B. K., Adv.  
Mr. Madhavendra Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Jahanavi Malik, Adv.  
Mr. Nishant, AOR

Mr. K.Kasturi, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Shreyas Shanth Mahale, Adv.  
Mr. Madhvendra Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Jahanvi Malik, Adv.  
Ms. Anuradha Bhat, Adv.  
Mr. Harisha S.r., AOR

For Respondent(s) :

Ms. Hetu Arora Sethi, AOR  
Ms. Lalit Mohini Bhat, Adv.  
Mr. Siddarth Agarwal, Adv.  
Mr. Rahul Jain, Adv.  
Mr. Anirudh Bhat, Adv.  
Ms. Kanak Bathwal, Adv.  
Mr. Sanidhya Kumar, Adv.

Mr. K.Kasturi, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. K. K. Mani, AOR  
Ms. T.archana, Adv.  
Mr. Rajeev Gupta, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

1. In a suit for injunction, preferred by the respondent-employee(s), prayer for temporary injunction was refused by the Trial Court against which, the respondent-employee(s) approached the High Court by preferring Miscellaneous First

Appeal(s) and during the pendency of the said appeal(s), an interim order has been granted by the High Court restricting the petitioner(s) from enforcing the transfer orders issued against the plaintiff/employee(s).

2. It is common ground that during the pendency of these Special Leave Petitions, the suit itself has been decreed in favour of respondent/plaintiff(s) and the Regular First Appeals preferred by the petitioners herein, are pending consideration before the High Court.

3. Considering that the present proceedings had arisen at an interim stage and thereafter, the suit itself has been concluded, the subject matter of the Special Leave Petitions have rendered infructuous.

4. Accordingly, the Special Leave Petitions are disposed of as having become infructuous leaving open for the parties to raise all the grounds, both legal and factual, in the appeals pending before the High Court, including the issue of jurisdiction.

5. The impleadment sought by principal-Employer, BOSCH, shall also be considered on its own merits.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(NISHA KHULBEY)  
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(CHETNA BALOONI)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)