

ITEM NO.27

COURT NO.6

SECTION II-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 83/2019 IN M.A. NO. 3102/2018 IN SPECIAL LEAVE  
PETITION (CRL.) DIARY NO. 42975/2018

HEDGE GOVIND VIJAY KUMAR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RAJESH KUMAR

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION)

WITH

MA 44/2019 (II-A)

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.184977/2018-CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION)

Date : 14-01-2019 These application was called on for hearing  
today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHINTON FALI NARIMAN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEET SARAN

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Ranjit Kumar, Sr.Adv.

Mr. Anirudh Sharma, AOR

Mr. Parthiv Goswami, Adv.

Mr. Vikrant Singh Negi, Adv.

Mr. Abhaid Parikh, Adv.

Ms. Anjana Prakash, Sr.Adv.

Mr. Saurabh Soni, Adv.

Mr. Mannat Singh, Adv.

Mr. Kshitiz Rao, Adv.

Mr. Nischal Kumar Neeraj, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Mr. Ranjit Kumar, who is appearing for the applicant in MA No.  
44 of 2019, states that even though the High Court has, by its  
order dated 30.10.2018, in Writ Petition No. 4688 of 2018, stayed

the non-bailable warrant and the proclamation against the applicant, it has not stayed the look-out notice dated 11.10.2016 in FIR No. 262 of 2016.

We stay the aforesaid look out notice on the undertaking of the applicant before this Court to join and continue with the on-going investigation within a period of four weeks from today.

We make it clear that the anticipatory bail application, which is pending, will be decided on its own merits.

The application is disposed of accordingly.

In view of the above, no order need be passed in the contempt petition, which is accordingly disposed of.

(MANAV SHARMA)  
COURT MASTER (SH)

(TAPAN KUMAR CHAKRABORTY)  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR