

ITEM NO.21

COURT NO.16

SECTION XII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 924/2020

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 01-08-2019 in WA No. 1041/2019 passed by the High Court Of Judicature At Madras)

THE GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

N EASWARAMURTY

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 198038/2019 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

(IA No. 198039/2019 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 01-09-2022 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.S. BOPANNA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Amit Anand Tiwari, AAG
Mr. D.Kumanan, AOR
Mr. Sheikh F. Kalia, Adv.
Ms. Mary Mitzy, Adv.
Ms. Devyani Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Tanvi Anand, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Haripriya Padmanabham, Adv.
Mr. Raghunatha Sethupathy B., Adv.
Mr. T. Mahendhran, Adv.
Ms. Aditi Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Priya R, Adv.
Mr. K. Paari Vendhan, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the petition papers.

Though, contentions have been urged, both in support of the impugned judgment as also in opposing the same, the perusal of the facts arising herein indicate that they are peculiar to this case. Hence, we need not advert to the issue and refer to decisions on the aspect relating to the point at which a right would accrue to be selected and appointed to the post.

In that light, we see no reason to interfere with the impugned judgment. However, contentions, as urged, on the legal aspect are left open to be considered in an appropriate case, in the facts arising therein and the judgments impugned herein, shall not be treated as a precedent for other cases.

This Petition is accordingly, dismissed.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(NISHA KHULBEY)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(DIPTI KHURANA)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR