

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 44082/2019 IN SLP(CO NO. 17762 OF 2019

THE COMMISSIONER KENDRIYA VIDYALAYA SANGATHAN & ANR.Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SP TAK & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(OFFICE REPORT FOR DEFAULT
IA No. 188283/2019 - ORAL HEARING)

WITH

Diary No(s). 44072/2019 IN SLP© NO. 17765 of 2019 (XV)
(IA No. 188224/2019 - ORAL HEARING)

Date : 03-11-2020 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. ABDUL NAZEER
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

Delay condoned.

Prayer(s) for oral hearing of the review
petition(s) is rejected.

The review petitions are dismissed.

(CHARANJEET KAUR)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(KAMLESH RAWAT)
COURT MASTER (NSH)

[Signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
INHERENT JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NO(S). OF 2020
(Arising out of Diary NO. 44082/2019)
IN
SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) NO(S). 17762 OF 2019

THE COMMISSIONER,
KENDRIYA VIDYALAYA SANGATHAN & ANR. PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

SP TAK AND ANR. RESPONDENT(S)

WITH
REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NO(S). OF 2020
(Arising out of Diary No. 44072/2019)
IN
SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL)NO(S). 17765 OF 2019

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Prayer(s) for oral hearing of the review Petition(s) is rejected.
3. We have gone through the review petitions and the connected papers placed on record and are convinced that the order, of which review has been sought, does not suffer from any error apparent warranting its reconsideration.
4. The review petitions are, accordingly, dismissed.

.....J.
[S.ABDUL NAZEER]

.....J.
[R. SUBHASH REDDY]

NEW DELHI;
NOVEMBER 03, 2020.

