

REVISED

ITEM NO.3

COURT NO.12

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Cr1.) No. 4881/2020

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 18-09-2019 in CRLA No. 870/1987 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad)

JAI DUTT

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF U.P.

Respondent(s)

Date : 08-03-2022 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.R. SHAH
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE B.V. NAGARATHNA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Salman Khurshid, Sr. Adv.
Mrs. Nagma Intiaz, Adv.
Mr. Ahmed Zargham, Adv.
Mr. Saif Naseem, Adv.
Ms. Lubna Naaz, Adv.
Ms. Azra Rehman, Adv.
Ms. Sakshi Kotiyal, Adv.
Ms. Jyoti Singh, Adv.
Ms. Aamna Darakshan, Adv.
Sommya Chaturvedi, Adv.
M/S. Equity Lex Associates, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Rana Mukherjee, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ankit Goel, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

No case is made out to entertain the Special Leave
Petition. The Special Leave Petition stands dismissed.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

Now, so far as the issue of juvenility claimed by the
accused is concerned, having considered and gone through the
counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondent/State on

contd..

the claim of the juvenility pleaded by the accused, Shri Salman Khurshid, learned Senior Advocate appearing on behalf of the petitioner does not press the claim of juvenility.

(NEETU SACHDEVA)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(NISHA TRIPATHI)
BRANCH OFFICER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Cr1.) No. 4881/2020

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 18-09-2019 in CRLA No. 870/1987 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad)

JAI DUTT

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF U.P.

Respondent(s)

Date : 08-03-2022 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.R. SHAH
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE B.V. NAGARATHNA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Salman Khurshid, Sr. Adv.
Mrs. Naghma Imtiaz, Adv.
Mr. Ahmed Zargham, Adv.
Mr. Saif Naseem, Adv.
Ms. Lubna Naaz, Adv.
Ms. Azra Rehman, Adv.
Ms. Sakshi Kotiyal, Adv.
Ms. Jyoti Singh, Adv.
Ms. Aamna Darakshan, Adv.
Sommya Chaturvedi, Adv.
M/S. Equity Lex Associates, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Rana Mukherjee, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ankit Goel, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

No case is made out to interfere with the impugned judgment and order passed by the High Court. The Special Leave Petition stands dismissed.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

Now, so far as the issue of juvenility claimed by the accused is concerned, having considered and gone through the counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondent/State on

contd..

the claim of the juvenility pleaded by the accused, Shri Salman Khurshid, learned Senior Advocate appearing on behalf of the petitioner does not press the claim of juvenility.

(NEETU SACHDEVA)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(NISHA TRIPATHI)
BRANCH OFFICER