

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. ...266 of 2017  
(@ Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 675/2017)

MOHD. SUHAIL

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Leave granted.

We have heard learned counsel for the parties and are of the view that this is a fit case in which the bail ought to be granted given the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that the appellant has been in custody for about 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> years. Accordingly, the appellant is directed to be released on bail to the satisfaction of the trial court.

The appeal is disposed of.

.....J.  
[MADAN B. LOKUR]

.....J.  
[PRAFULLA C. PANT]

NEW DELHI;  
FEBRUARY 8, 2017.

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 675/2017

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 02/09/2016 in CMBA No. 33758/2015 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad)

MOHD. SUHAIL

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH

Respondent(s)

(With application for exemption from filing OT and interim relief and office report)

Date : 08/02/2017 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAFULLA C. PANT

For Petitioner(s) Dr. Nafis A. Siddiqui, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Adarsh Upadhyay, Adv.  
Mr. Garvesh Kabra, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed order.

(Meenakshi Kohli)  
Court Master(Jaswinder Kaur)  
Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]