

ITEM NO.2

COURT NO.10

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 684-685/2016

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 30/10/2015 in CRLP No. 5819/2015 and in CRLP No. 6949/2015 passed by the High Court Of Judicature At Hyderabad For The State Of Telangana And The State Of Andhra Pradesh)

PASUMARTHY VENU MADHAV

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF TELANGANA AND ORS. ETC.

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for permission to bring additional documents and permission to file synopsis and list of dates)

WITH

SLP(Crl) Nos. 7062-7063/2016

(With appln.(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned judgment and Office Report)

Date : 09/01/2017 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MISHRA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAVA ROY

For the parties:

Mr. Iqbal Ashraf Rahmani, Adv.

Mr. Rajesh Singh Chauhan, Adv.

Mr. Keshav Thakur, Adv.

Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Adv.

Mr. Mrityunjai Singh, Adv.

Mr. Sidharth Luthra, Sr. Adv.

Mr. B. Shravanth Shanker, Adv.

Mr. Jagadeeswara Rao, Adv.

Mr. Gautam Khazanachi, Adv.

Mr. Amit K. Nain, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appeals are allowed in term of the signed
order.

(NEELAM GULATI)
COURT MASTER

(TAPAN KUMAR CHAKRABORTY)
COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(S). 68-69 OF 2017
(Arising out of SLP(Crl.) Nos. 684-685 of 2016)

PASUMARTHY VENU MADHAV

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF TELANGANA AND ORS. ETC.

Respondent(s)

WITH

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(S). 70-71 OF 2017
(Arising out of SLP(Crl) Nos. 7062-7063/2016)

O R D E R

Leave granted.

Prima facie from the reading of the First Information Report (FIR), no case was made out for quashment of the same at the investigation stage vis.-a-vis. Accused K.Jhansi Rani and K.Venugopal i.e. accused Nos. A2 and A3 respectively.

However, after hearing learned counsel for the parties at great length, it is not necessary to make observation on merit as counsel agree that a case for further investigation against A2 & A3 is made out. Ordered accordingly. The observations made by the High Court shall not come in the way of independent investigation. It would be open to the parties to question the investigation in accordance with law, as and when the Supplementary Final

Report is filed in court. Impugned order is set aside.

The appeals are allowed.

.....J
(ARUN MISHRA)

.....J
(AMITAVA ROY)

NEW DELHI
JANUARY 09, 2017