

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 13046/2021

THE RANUJ NAGRIK SAHAKARI BANK LTD. & ANR. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SHAH JAYANTILAL CHIMANLAL & ORS. Respondent(s)

Date : 14-09-2023 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARAVIND KUMAR
[IN CHAMBER]

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Rakesh Uttamchandra Upadhyay, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Purvish Jitendra Malkan, AOR
Ms. Dharita Purvish Malkan, Adv.
Mr. Alok Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Deepak Mani Tripathi, Adv.Mr. Chand Qureshi, AOR
Mr. Atul Bhojane, Adv.
Dr. P.b.reddy, Adv.
Ms. Patnam Shyla, Adv.
Ms. C.s.hema, Adv.
Mr. Mohit Yadav, Adv.
Mrs. Aarti Pal, Adv.Mr. Sanjeev Gupta, Adv.
Mr. P.B. Velani, Adv.
Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, AORUPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that respondent no.3 having expired, his L.R. is already on record as respondent no.2. His submission is placed on record. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner to make necessary corrections/amendments in the memo of parties. It is also made clear if there are any other L.R. of respondent no.3, orders passed by this Court in this petition

would not be binding on them. Respondent no.2 is to be substituted as L.R. of respondent no.3. Hence, question of entertaining the application for substitution, condoning the delay and setting aside the abatement would not arise. The said application stands disposed of as having become infructuous.

(NEETA SAPRA)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(BEENA JOLLY)
COURT MASTER (NSH)