

ITEM NO.7

COURT NO.9

SECTION XII-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 1322-1323/2019

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 18-09-2018 in WP No. 20544/2017 18-09-2018 in WPPIL No. 149/2017 passed by the High Court Of Judicature At Hyderabad For The State Of Telangana And The State Of Andhra Pradesh)

K. KOTESHWAR RAO ETC.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF TELANGANA & ORS. Etc.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R.)

Date : 01-02-2019 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY RASTOGI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. R. Venkatramani, Sr. Adv.
Mr. S. Satyam Reddy, Sr. Adv.
Mr. R. Santhnan Krishnan, Adv.
Mr. Yashraj Bundela, Adv.
Mr. Praveen Vagnesh, Adv.
Mr. Kulshreshtha, Adv.
Mr. C. S. N. Mohan Rao, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Nitya Ramakrishnan, Adv.
Mr. Shadan Farasat, AOR
Mr. Saaduzz Aman, Adv.

Mr. G. Arvind Kumar, Adv.
Mr. B. Subhash, adv.
Ms. Jahnvi Sindhu, Adv.
Ms. Shruti Narayan, Adv.

Mr. V. Giri, Sr. Adv.
Mr. G. Vidhya Sagar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. D. Abhinav Rao, AOR
Mr. Y. Rama Rao, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

We deem it appropriate to permit the petitioners to approach the High Court by way of Review Petition pointing out in particular Regulation 4 of the Andhra Pradesh (Regulation of Appointments to Public Services and Rationalization of Staff Pattern and Pay Structure) Act, 1994 (in short 'the 1994 Act'), which perhaps was not brought to the notice of the High Court. The High Court is free to decide the review petition on its own merit in accordance with law. Needless to mention that liberty given to the petitioners may not be construed as an expression of opinion by this Court on matters to be dealt with in the proposed review petition.

In case the petitioners fail to get any relief in the review petition, it will be open to the petitioners to challenge the decision in review petition as well as the impugned judgment before this court.

We once again make it clear that it will be open to both the parties to urge all contentions as may be permissible including the applicability of provisions of the 1994 Act to the case in hand.

Special leave petitions are disposed of accordingly.

Pending application, if any, also stand disposed of.

(NEELAM GULATI)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(VIDYA NEGI)
COURT MASTER (NSH)