

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).24711/2017

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 09-11-2016 in WPMD No.16202/2016 passed by the High Court Of Judicature At Madras At Madurai)

R. KARMEGAM

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DISTRICT COLLECTOR & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for exemption from filing O.T.)

Date : 13-10-2017 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MISHRA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MOHAN M. SHANTANAGOUDAR

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Yashank Pravin Adhyaru, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. M.A. Chinnasamy, AOR  
Ms. C. Rubavathi, Adv.  
Mr. V. Senthil Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. M.P. Parthiban, Adv.  
Mr. Parthasarathi, Adv.  
For Mr. Ankur Prakash, AOR

Mr. Gopal Balwant Sathe, AOR (Not present)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

1. It was submitted by the learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that considering the prayer made in the writ petition, the Court should have directed for consideration of the application filed under Section 24 of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013.

2. Section 24 of the aforesaid Act cannot be said to be applicable in this case, as possession had been taken, Award was passed and the writ petition stood dismissed in the year 1962. Thus, it was not open to the petitioner to invoke the provisions after more than 55 years.

3. The special leave petition is dismissed accordingly. Pending applications stand disposed of.

4. Though, we were inclined to impose exemplary cost, learned senior counsel made a prayer not to do so, hence, we are not imposing any costs.

(Sarita Purohit)  
Court master

(Tapan Kumar Chakraborty)  
Branch Officer