

ITEM NO.46

COURT NO.17

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 34281/2017

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 11-09-2017 in WP No. 133/2017 passed by the High Court Of Judicature At Bombay At Goa)

SUHASINI S. GOVEKAR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNDER SECRETARY GOVT. OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R.)

Date : 27-02-2023 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ABHAY S. OKA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDALFor Petitioner(s) Mr. Ninad Laud, Adv.
Mr. Ivo D'costa Adv.
Mr. Jayant Mohan, AOR
Mr. Avinash Mathews, Adv.For Respondent(s) Mr. K M Nataraj, A.S.G.
Mr. Vatsal Joshi, Adv.
Mr. Vinayak Sharma, Adv.
Mr. P V Yogeshwaran, Adv.
Mrs. Rukhmini Bobde, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AORMr. Sunil Kumar Mund, Adv.
Mr. Kiran Kumar Patra, AOR
Mr. Biswajit Patra, Adv.
Mr. Manoj Kumar Upadhyay, Adv.
Mr. Chandrasekhar Padhi, Adv.
Mr. Kumar Ankit, Adv.UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner.

An order was made on 09.01.2017 in favour of respondent No.2 by the Government of India under Section 9(2) of the Citizenship

Act, 1955. The order holds that the respondent No.2 is a bonafide citizen of India.

The High Court in exercise of its discretionary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has declined to interfere with the said order on the ground that the petitioner has no locus to challenge the order passed under Section 9(2) of the Citizenship Act, 1955.

No case for interference under Article 136 of the Constitution is made out. The Special Leave Petition is dismissed.

Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(INDU MARWAH)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(R.S. NARAYANAN)
COURT MASTER (NSH)