

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

INHERENT JURISDICTION

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 622/2020 in C.A. No. 4432/2017

SIDDHARTHA MALLICK

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

BENIT KUMAR & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

ORDER

In response to the notice issued in this contempt petition, the affidavit in reply has enumerated facts in detail in the form of list of dates.

As against the date 09.03.2018, following assertions are made:

“Sri Mallick being empanelled and fulfilling the required criteria was also called vide letter dated 09.03.2018 for consideration for contract engagement of “Cook” vide letter No. P&IR/13/9/2018/4067 dated 09.03.2018. Nowhere in the said letter was it mentioned that this was purporting to be offering this temporary assignment in lieu of permanent employment. As such his right arising out of the Court’s order remained in full force. This was over and above his rights and contentions accruing out of the order dated 24.03.2017 of this Hon’ble Court.”

The affidavit has also appended communication dated 11.11.2020 in terms of which the offer was made to the petitioner.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is willing to accept the offer made in terms of said communication.

Mr. Parag P. Tripathi, learned Senior Advocate appearing for the alleged contemnors submits that if the petitioner is agreeable, his clients will be more than willing to stand by the offer.

In view of the aforesaid circumstances, nothing further need be done in the matter. The contempt petition is closed.

.....J.
[UDAY UMESH LALIT]

.....J.
[VINEET SARAN]

.....J.
[S. RAVINDRA BHAT]

New Delhi,
December 16, 2020

