

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.2506/2021

THOTA SRIDHAR REDDY & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

MANDALA RAMULAMMA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH

CIVIL APPEAL NO.2507/2021 (XII-A)

CIVIL APPEAL NO.2508/2021 (XII-A)

CIVIL APPEAL NO.2509/2021 (XII-A)

O R D E R

CIVIL APPEAL NO.2508/2021 & CIVIL APPEAL NO.2509/2021

These appeals have been filed by appellants who have purchased plots, amongst other persons, in the colony known as Meenakshi Estates to acquire rights in respect of 9 acres 16 *guntas* of land where this colony has been developed and have thereafter constructed on it. These appellants were not before the High Court.

Learned counsels for the parties point out that respondent No.2 before us (original petitioner No.2) passed

away in February, 2021 and request that the legal heirs be permitted to be brought on record.

By consent of parties, the legal heirs are brought on record and the amended memo of parties be filed within one week.

Mr. B. Adinarayana Rao and Mr. P.S. Narasimha, learned senior counsels appearing for the contesting respondents who are the successors-in-interest of the original tenant Mr.Mandala Lakshmaiah submit that on a second thought these respondents have examined the matter and the learned counsels have been instructed to make a statement that the contesting respondents in terms of the impugned judgment do not lay any claim over the land in question but confine their claim to the remaining land excluding the land measuring 9 acres 16 *guntas*. The aforesaid statement is taken on record.

In view of the aforesaid, the impugned order(s) is/are set aside to the extent of the land measuring 9 acres 16 *guntas* by consent and the *lis* does not survive for the appellant(s) and thus, any action taken by the contesting respondents in pursuance to the impugned judgment would also not have any effect.

The appeals are allowed to the aforesaid extent leaving parties to bear their own costs.

Needless to say, the aforesaid statement is made without prejudice to the rights of the contesting respondents in the other appeals filed by the original owners.

.....J.
[SANJAY KISHAN KAUL]

.....J.
[HEMANT GUPTA]

NEW DELHI;
JULY 14, 2021.

ITEM NO.102 Court 7 (Video Conferencing) SECTION XII-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No(s). 2506/2021

THOTA SRIDHAR REDDY & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

MANDALA RAMULAMMA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

([PART-HEARD BY HON'BLE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL AND HON'BLE HEMANT GUPTA ,JJ.]

WITH

C.A. No. 2507/2021 (XII-A)

C.A. No. 2508/2021 (XII-A)

C.A. No. 2509/2021 (XII-A)

Date : 14-07-2021 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT GUPTA

For Petitioner(s)
CA 2506/2021

Mr. V. Giri, Sr. Adv.
Dr. Nishesh Sharma, AOR
Mr. Raavi Venkata Yogesh, Adv.
Ms. Snigdha Singh, Adv.
Mr. Amit Krishnan, Adv.
Ms. Suveni Bhagat, Adv.

Mr. Dushyant A. Dave, Sr. Adv.
Mr. D. Ramakrishna Reddy, Adv.
Mr. V. Ramakrishna Reddy, Adv.
Ms. Neha Sangwan, Adv.
Ms. D. Tejaswi Reddy, Adv.
Mrs. D. Bharathi Reddy, AOR

Mr. Ranjit Kumar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sumanth Nookala, AOR

Mr. M.N. Rao, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sumanth Nookala, AOR

For Respondent(s)
Item 102

Mr. P.S. Narasimha, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Balaram Goud, Adv.

Mr. Mithun Shashank, Adv.
 Mr. Abid Ali Beeran P., Adv.
 Mr. Sarath S. Janardanan, Adv.
 Ms. Aditi Tripathi, Adv.
 Ms. Filza Moonis, AOR

Item 102.1 Mr. B. Adi Narayan Rao, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Mithun Shashank, Adv.
 Mr. Sarath S. Janardanan, Adv.
 Ms. Filza Moonis, AOR

Item 102.3 Mr. Guru Krishnakumar, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Mithun Shashank, Adv.
 Mr. Abid Ali Beeran P., Adv.
 Mr. Sarath S. Janardanan, Adv.
 Ms. Filza Moonis, AOR

Mr. Dushyant A. Dave, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. D. Ramakrishna Reddy, Adv.
 Mr. V. Ramakrishna Reddy, Adv.
 Ms. Neha Sangwan, Adv.
 Ms. D. Tejaswi Reddy, Adv.
 Mrs. D. Bharathi Reddy, AOR

CA 2508/2021 Mr. P. Venkat Reddy, Adv.
 Mr. Prashant Tyagi, Adv.
 Mr. P. Srinivas Reddy, Adv.
 M/S. Venkat Palwai Law Associates, AOR

 Mr. Vikas Mehta, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

CIVIL APPEAL NO.2508/2021 & CIVIL APPEAL NO.2509/2021

The appeals are allowed in terms of the signed order.

Pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

CIVIL APPEAL NO.2506/2021 & CIVIL APPEAL NO.2507/2021

In yesterday and today we have heard learned counsel for the parties for three hours and twenty five minutes, only Mr. P. S. Narasimha, learned senior counsel is left to conclude his submissions. He will take fifteen minutes tomorrow.

List tomorrow i.e. on 15.07.2021 as part heard.

[ASHA SUNDRIYAL]	[POONAM VAID]
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS	COURT MASTER (NSH)
[Signed order is placed on the file]	