

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6212/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.2530/2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

RAJA TUKARAM SHINDE

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.903 of 2020 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Raja Tukaram Shinde vs. The State of Maharashtra and Anr.*".
3. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 28.04.2014 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay Bench at Aurangabad in Writ Petition No.4138 of 2007 titled "*Raja S/o Tukaram Shinde vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order assailed in the present appeal.
5. The Civil Appeal stands disposed of.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6211/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.17307/2021)

ZILLA PARISHAD AKOLA AND ANR. APPELLANT(S)
VERSUS
VIJAY AND ANR. RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.3390 of 2021 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Vijay S/o Damodhar Bhande vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*".
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 05.08.2013 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.3560 of 2013 titled "*Vijay S/o Damodar Bhande vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6199/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.20680/2021)

ZILLA PARISHAD AKOLA AND ANR.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

KU. GANGA KAMLAKAR PATIL (DHORE) &
ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.3369 of 2021 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Ku. Ganga Kamlakar Patil (Dhore) vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*"
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 05.08.2013 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.3955 of 2013, titled "*Ku. Ganga daughter Kamlakar Patil vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6198/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.20491/2021)

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER ZILLA
PARISHAD AKOLA

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

DIPAK AND ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.878 of 2021 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Kishor S/o Ramaji Bokde and Ors. vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*"
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 05.12.2012 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.5048 of 2012 titled "*Dipak Bapurao Dharmik vs. Zilla Parishad Akola through Chief Executive Officer and Ors.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the judgment assailed in the present appeal.
4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6197/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.17318/2021)

ZILLA PARISHAD AKOLA AND ANR.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

DEVANAND ANANDRAO MORE AND ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.3474 of 2021 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled *“Devanand Anandrao More vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.”*
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 05.08.2013 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.3558 of 2013 titled *“Devanand S/o Anandrao More vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors.”* having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6196/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.20701/2021)

ZILLA PARISHAD AKOLA AND ANR.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

GOPAL AND ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.3394 of 2021 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Gopal S/o Devidas Ingle vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors.*"
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 14.08.2013 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.3871 of 2013 titled "*Gopal S/o Devidas Ingle vs. Scheduled Tribe Caste Certificat Scrutiny Committee and Anr.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6195/2025
(@ SLP(C) No. 20697/2021)

ZILLA PARISHAD AKOLA AND ANR.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

VASANT AND ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.

2. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.3379 of 2021 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Vasant S/o Eknath Kolteke vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors.*"

3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 14.08.2013 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.4366 of 2013 titled "*Vasanta s/o Eknath Kolteke vs. Scheduled Tribe Caste Certificate Scrutiny Committee and Anr.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.

4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6222/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.20343/2021)

ZILLA PARISHAD AKOLA AND ANR.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

MOHANLAL AND ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.3382 of 2021 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Mohanlal S/o Kukaji Tekade vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors.*"
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 21.08.2013 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.4074 of 2013 titled "*Mohan Lal S/o Kukaji Tekade vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6235/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.20350/2021)

ZILLA PARISHAD AKOLA AND ANR. APPELLANT(S)
VERSUS
NALINI BALKRUSHNA TARODE AND ANR. RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.3465 of 2021 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Nalini Balkrushna Tarode vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors.*"
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 07.08.2013 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.3954 of 2013 titled "*Ku. Nalini Daughter of Balkrushna Tarode vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6234/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.20066/2021)

THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND ANR. APPELLANT(S)
VERSUS
ARCHANA SHANKARRAO BAYASKAR AND ANR. RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.3447 of 2021 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Nalini Balkrushna Tarode vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors.*"
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 08.05.2017 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.3387 of 2000, titled "*Archana d/o Shankarrao Bayaskar vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6200/2025
(@ SLP(C) No. 3970/2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

BALU

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.

2. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.1484 of 2020, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Balu S/o Daga Koli vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*"

3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 25.07.2017 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay Bench at Aurangabad in Writ Petition No.6721 of 2014 titled "*Balu Daga Koli vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.

4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CIVIL APPEAL NO.6201/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.3376/2022)**

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ORS.**

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

DADARAO

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.1718 of 2020, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Dadarao s/o Narayanrao Waghmode vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*"
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 16.02.2006 passed by the High in Writ Petition No.4533 of 1996 having attained finality, we are not inclined to

..2/-

.2.

interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.

4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6202/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.3221/2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

NARAYAN AND ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.8977 of 2020, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Narayan s/o Onkar Kumbhare vs. The State of Maharashtra and Anr.*"
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 13.10.2005 passed by the High Court Judicature of Bombay Bench at Nagpur, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.1686 of 2004 having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.

4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6203/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.3814/2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

SURENDRA PARSHURAM TENGALE AND
ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.3514 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Surendra Parshuram Tengale vs. State of Maharashtra and Anr.*"
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 01.12.2003 passed by the High Court in Writ Petition No.5735 of 1996 titled "having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.

4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6204/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.5734/2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

VASUDEO AND ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.5577 of 2020, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Vasudeo s/o Gangaram Koli vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*"
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 06.05.2014 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay Bench at Nagpur, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.2514 of 2014 titled "*Vasudeo S/o Gangaram Koli vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

.2.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CIVIL APPEAL NO.6205/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.6055/2022)**

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

NILKANTH AND ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.851 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "Nilkanth S/o Sitaram Nikhare and Ors. vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors."
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 28.01.2015 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.2772 of 2003 titled Nilkhant Sitaram Nikhare "vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors." having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order assailed in the present appeal.

4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CIVIL APPEAL NO.6220/2025
(@ SLP(C) No. 3217/2022)**

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

SAVITA AND ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.3628 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "Savita D/o. Barikrao Adhe vs. The State of Maharashtra and Anr."
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 26.04.2004 passed by the High Court in Writ Petition No.4978 of 2003 having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.

..2/-

.2.

4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6214/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.3520/2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

TAJ MOHAMAD KHAN AND ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.

2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.6730 of 2020, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Taj Mohamad Khan S/o Karim Khan vs. The State of Maharashtra and Anr.*"

3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 05.03.2004 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay Bench at Aurangabad in Writ Petition No.221 of 2003 titled "*Taj Mohamad Khand S/o Karim Khan vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.

..2/-

4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6215/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.3781/2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

ARCHANA SHANKARRAO BAYASKAR AND
ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.

2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.3447 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Archana Shankarrao Bayaskar vs. The Chief Executive Office and Ors.*"

3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 08.05.2017 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.3387 of 2000, titled "*Archana d/o Shankarrao Bayaskar vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.

4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6219/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.2935/2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

APPELLANT

VERSUS

MOHD. NAIMODDIN AND ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.1830 of 2020, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Mohd. Naimoddin S/o Khiyamoddin vs. The State of Maharashtra and Anr.*"
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 17.11.2014 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad in Writ Petition No.2718 of 2014, titled "*Mohd Naimoddin Khiyamoddin vs. The State of Maharashtra and Anr.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.

.2.

4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6243/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.3792/2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

NALINI BALRKUSHNA TARODE AND
ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.3465 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Nalini Balkrushna Tarode vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*"
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 07.08.2013 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.3954 of 2013 titled "*Ku. Nalini Daughter of Balkrushna Tarode vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.

..2/-

.2.

4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6230/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.3582/2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

APPELLANTS

VERSUS

SURENDRA AND ANR.

RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.3474 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Devanand Anandrao More vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*"
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 02.09.2005 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.3830 of 2002 titled "*Surendra Gunvantrao Bardikar vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.

.2.

4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CIVIL APPEAL NO.6217/2025
(@ SLP(C) No. 3229/2022)**

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ORS.**

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

DEVANAND ANANDRAO MORE AND ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.3474 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Devanand Anandrao More vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*"
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 05.08.2013 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.3558 of 2013 titled "*Devanand S/o Anandrao More vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.

..2/-

.2.

4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6206/2025
(@ SLP(C) No. 3216/2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

GOPAL AND ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.848 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Gopal S/o Motiramji Umate vs. The State of Maharashtra and Anr.*"
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 18.10.2012 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay Bench at Aurangabad in Writ Petition No.1705/2012 titled "*Gopal S/o Motiram Umate vs. Vice-Chairman & Joint Commissioner Scheduled Tribe Certificate Scrutiny Committee*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.

4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6218/2025

(@ SLP(C) No. 3354/2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

MOHANLAL AND ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.3382 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Mohanlal S/o Kukaji Tekade vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*"
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 21.08.2013 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.4074 of 2013 titled "*Mohan Lal S/o Kukaji Tekade vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

.2.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6213/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.3447/2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

VANITA MAHADEORAO NIMJE

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.

2. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.3416 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Vanita Mahadeorao Nimje vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*"

3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 23.06.2017 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.5483 of 2015 titled "*Vanita Mahadeorao Nimje vs. Joint Commissioner & Vice Chariman, Scheduled Tribe Caste Certificate Scrutiny Committee & Ors.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.

4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

..2/-

.2.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6244/2025
(@ SLP(C) No. 3971/2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

SHANKAR

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.847 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Shankar S/o Shamrao Kuhikar vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*"
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 16.07.2015 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.3319 of 2015 titled "*Shri Shankar S/o Shyamrao Kuhikar vs. Joint Commissioner & Vice Chairman, Scheduled Tribe Caste Certificate Scrutiny Committee and Ors.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

.2.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPEAL NO.6231/2025
(@ SLP(C) No. 6344/2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

NUTAN AND ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.880 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Nutan S/o Purushottam Umredkar vs. The State of Maharashtra and Anr.*"
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 18.10.2012 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.2438/1999 titled "*Nootan S/o Purushottam Umredkar vs. The Scheduled Tribe Caste Certificate Scrutiny Committee, Nagpur*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

..2/-

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPEAL NO.6247/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.4577/2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

TARACHAND AND ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.901 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Tarachand S/o Bapurao Dhapodkar vs. The State of Maharashtra and Anr.*"
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 19.04.2017 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.722/2017 titled "*Tarachand S/o Bapurao Dhapodkar vs. Vice-Chairman & Joint Commissioner Scheduled Tribe Certificate Scrutiny Committee and Anr.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

.2.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6207/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.4389/2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

KU. PRITI AND ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.839 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Ku. Priti D/o Arunrao Tidke vs. The State of Maharashtra and Anr.*"
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 23.11.2016 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay Bench at Aurangabad in Writ Petition No.6384 of 2016 titled "*Ku. Priti Arunrao Tidke vs. Shri Shivaji Education Society and Ors.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order assailed in the present appeal.
4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6241/2025
(@ SLP(C) No. 4823/2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

SANJAYKUMAR AND ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.

2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.8642 of 2020, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Sanjaykumar s/o Shankarrao Koli vs. The State of Maharashtra and Anr.*"

3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 24.03.2005 passed by the High Court in Writ Petition No.3031/1999 having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.

4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

..2/-

.2.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6238/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.4455/2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

DHANRAJSING AND ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.861 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled *"Dhanrajsing S/o Raghuvirsing Bais and Anr. vs. The State of Maharashtra and Anr."*
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 23.11.2012 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.3244/2012 titled *"Dhanrajsingh S/o Raghuvirsingh Bais vs. Joint Commissioner & Vice-Chairman, Scheduled Tribe Caste Certificate Scrutiny Committee and Anr."* having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

.2.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6216/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.4235/2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

KU. NEESHA AND ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.854 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Ku. Neesha D/o Pandurang Gate vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*"
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 21.03.2017 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.810 of 2017 titled "*Ku. Neesha Pandurang Gate vs. Vice-Chairman & Joint Commissioner, Scheduled Tribe Certificate Scrutiny Committee, Nagpur and Ors.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order assailed in the present appeal.
4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6239/2025
(@ SLP(C) No. 4505/2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

NARENDRA AND ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.8942 of 2020, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled *"Narendra S/o Yashwant Parate vs. The State of Maharashtra and Anr."*
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 23.11.2012 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.3551/2015 titled *"Shri Narendra S/o Yashwant Parate vs. Joint Commissioner & Vice-Chairman, Scheduled Tribe Caste Certificate Scrutiny Committee and Ors."* having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.

.2.

4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6233/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.4481/2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

KISHORE AND ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.

2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.878 of 2020, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Kishor S/o Ramaji Bokde and Ors. vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*"

3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the judgment dated 25.06.2007 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.6130 of 2004 titled "*Kishor S/o Ramaji Bokade vs. The Deputy Director, Vocation Education and Training and Ors.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.

4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

..2/-

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6232/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.4995/2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

SANGEETA RAJENDRA GHOSALKAR @
SANGEETA HARISHCHANDRA VAITY AND ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.3516 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Smt. Sangeeta Rajendra Ghosalkar @ Kum. Sangeeta Harishchandra Vaity vs. The State of Maharashtra and Anr.*"
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 30.09.1996 passed by the High Court in Writ Petition No.4889/1996 having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

..2/-

.2.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6245/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.4399/2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

DUHITA DILIP CHAULKAR @ HEMANGI
MAHADEV KOLI AND ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.3513 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Smt. Duhita Dilip Chaulkar @ Kum. Hemangi Mahadev Koli vs. State of Maharashtra and Anr.*"
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 26.08.1996 passed by the High Court in Writ Petition No.4339/1996 having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

.2.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6240/2025
(@ SLP(C) No. 4816/2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

RAMESH

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.3568 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Ramesh S/o. Devaba Koli vs. State of Maharashtra and Anr.*"
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 27.09.2013 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay in Writ Petition No.11519 of 2012 titled "*Ramesh Devaba Koli vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order assailed in the present appeal.
4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6246/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.4450/2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

MADHAVI RAJENDRA TIWARE @ MADHAVI
LAXMAN VAITY AND ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.3575 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "Madhavi Rajendra Tiware @ Kum. Madhavi Laxman Vaity vs. State of Maharashtra and Anr."
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 23.12.1996 passed by the High Court in Writ Petition No.6259 of 1996 having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.

.2.

4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6208/2025
(@ SLP(C) No. 5733/2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

RAJESH AND ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.917 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Rajesh S/o Manohar Darbeshwar vs. State of Maharashtra and Anr.*"
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 25.11.2016 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay Bench at Nagpur, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.5480 of 2016 titled "*Rajesh Manohar Darbeshwar vs. The Chief Executive Officer Zilla Parishad, Nagpur and Ors.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6209/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.8238/2022)

MAHARASHTRA STATE ELECTRICITY
TRANSMISSION CO. LTD.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

SUNIL TARACHAND NIKUME AND ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.3626 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Sunil Tarachand Nikume vs. State of Maharashtra and Anr.*"
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 17.08.2002 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay in Writ Petition No.4213 of 2002 titled "*Shri Tarachand Nikume vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6242/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.6778/2022)

THE CHIEF GENERAL MANAGER (HUMAN
RESOURCES) MAHARASHTRA STATE
ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION COMPANY LTD.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

ANIL AND ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.6835 of 2020, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled *"Anil s/o Madhav Parate vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors."*
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 04.04.2014 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay in Writ Petition No.9540 of 2013 titled *"Anil Madhavrao Parate vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors."* having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6210/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.9483/2022)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

SHIVAJI DATTU KOLI

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.10145 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Shivaji Dattu Koli vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*"
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 15.01.1997 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay in Writ Petition No.162 of 1997 titled "*Shri Shivaji Dattu Koli vs. The Tahsildar/Executive Magistrate, Radhanagri and Ors.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

..2/-

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6262/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.19276/2022)

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER ZILLA
PARISHAD

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

KU. NEESHA AND ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.854 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled *"Ku. Neesha D/o Pandurang Gate, (Smt. Neesha W/o Ravi Borsare) vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors."*
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 21.03.2017 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay Bench at Nagpur, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.810 of 2017 titled *"Ku. Neesha Pandurang Gate vs. Vice Chairman and Joint Commissioner, Scheduled Tribe Certificate Scrutiny Committee, Nagpur and Ors. The Chief Executive Officer and Ors."* having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order assailed in the present appeal.

.2.

4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6261/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.19277/2022)

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER ZILLA
PARISHAD

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

TARACHAND AND ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.901 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Tarachand S/o Bapurao Dhapodkar vs. The State of Maharashtra and Anr.*"
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 19.04.2017 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay Bench at Nagpur, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.722 of 2017 titled "*Tarachand S/o Bapurao Dhapodkar vs. Vice-Chairman and Joint Commissioner, Scheduled Tribe Certificate Scrutiny Committee and Anr.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

.2.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6260/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.19279/2022)

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

SURENDRA AND ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.911 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Surendra S/o Gunwantrao Bardikar vs. The State of Maharashtra and Anr.*"
3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 02.09.2005 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.3830 of 2002 titled "*Surendra Gunvantrao Bardikar vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

.2.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6259/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.19280/2022)

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

RAJESH AND ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.

2. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 04.05.2021 in Writ Petition No.917 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Rajesh S/o Manohar Darbeshwar vs. The State of Maharashtra and Anr.*"

3. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 25.11.2016 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay Bench at Nagpur, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.5480 of 2016 titled "*Rajesh Manohar Darbeshwar vs. The Chief Executive Officer Zilla Parish Nagpur and Ors.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.

4. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6237/2025
(@ SLP(C) NO.12823/2025 @ DIARY NO.23291/2024)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

ARUN DAMDUJI KOCHARE

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Leave granted.
3. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 25.04.2022 in Writ Petition No.8345 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Arun Damduji Kochare vs. The Vice-Chairman and Ors.*"
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 30.01.2015 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay Bench at Nagpur, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.337 of 2015 titled "*Arun S/o Damduji Kochare vs. The Joint Secretary Law and Judiciary Department, Nagpur*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
5. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

..2/-

.2.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6258/2025
(@ SLP(C) NO.12834/2025 @ DIARY NO.37237/2024)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

PURUSHOTTAM AND ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.

2. Leave granted.

3. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 25.04.2022 in Writ Petition No.828 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Purushottam S/o Waman Kohad vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*"

4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 18.04.2016 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.6909 of 2015 titled "*Purushottam S/o Waman Kohad vs. Schedule Tribe Caste Certificate Scrutiny Committee, Nagpur, through its Member Secretary and Anr.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.

..2/-

.2.

5. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6257/2025
(@ SLP(C) NO.12833/2025 @ DIARY NO.37251/2024)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

ARCHANA VISHWANATH BARALE (AFTER
MARRIAGE ARCHANA AJAY NAIDU) AND ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Leave granted.
3. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 25.04.2022 in Writ Petition No.1633 of 2022, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Archana Vishwanath Barale vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*"
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 13.04.2016 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.792 of 2016 titled "*Ku. Archana D/o Vishwanath Baley/Archana W/o Ajay Naidu vs. Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Buldhana and Anr.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.

.2.

5. The civil appeal stands disposed of.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6256/2025
(@ SLP(C) NO.12832/2025 @ DIARY NO.37284/2024)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

BALIRAM WASUDEO TAYADE AND ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Leave granted.
3. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 25.04.2022 in Writ Petition No.1632 of 2022, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Baliram Wasudeo Tayade vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*"
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 12.10.2015 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay Nagpur Bench at Nagpur in Writ Petition No.5647/2013 titled "*Baliram S/o Wasudeo Tayade vs. Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Buldhana and Anr.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order assailed in the present appeal.
5. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

..2/-

.2.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6221/2025
(@ SLP(C) NO.12813/2025 @ DIARY NO.37448/2024)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

NITA YASHWANT ZANJAD @ NITA NARESH
MAHAJAN AND ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Leave granted.
3. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 25.04.2022 in Writ Petition No.1010 of 2022, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Nita Yashwant Zanjad @ Nita Naresh Mahajan vs. The State of Maharashtra and Anr.*"
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 04.01.2016 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.1456 of 2001 titled "*Miss Nita Yeshwant Zanjad vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
5. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

.2.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6236/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.12822/2025 @ Diary No.37452/2024)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

RAMCHANDRA VITTHAL KOLI AND ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Leave granted.
3. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 25.04.2022 in Writ Petition No.1384 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Ramchandra Vitthal Koli vs. The State of Maharashtra and Anr.*"
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 09.12.1996 passed by the High Court in Writ Petition No.6005 of 1996, having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
5. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

.2.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6226/2025
(@ SLP(C) NO.12817/2025 @ DIARY NO.37453/2024)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR. APPELLANT(S)
VERSUS
MORESHWAR MUKUNDA KARMARKAR AND ANR. RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Leave granted.
3. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 25.04.2022 in Writ Petition No.4588 of 2022, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Moreshwar Mukunda Karmarkar vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*"
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 04.02.2015 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.1996 of 2014 titled "*Moreshwar Mukunda Karmarkar vs. Members, Scheduled Tribe Certificate Scrutiny Committee, Gadchiroli and Others*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
5. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

.2.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6255/2025
(@ SLP(C) NO.12831/2025 @ DIARY NO.37456/2024)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

SHREEDHAR PARASHRAM VANKORE AND ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Leave granted.
3. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 25.04.2022 in Writ Petition No.4580 of 2022, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Shreedhar Parashram Vankore vs. The State of Maharashtra and Anr.*"
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 05.01.1997 passed by the High Court in Writ Petition No.164 of 1997 having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
5. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

.2.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6254/2025
(@ SLP(C) NO.12830/2025 @ DIARY NO.37457/2024)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

SANJAY SADASHIV CHACHANE AND ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Leave granted.
3. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 25.04.2022 in Writ Petition No.4118 of 2022, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Sanjay Sadashiv Chachane vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*"
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 14.06.2017 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.1291 of 2017 titled "*Sanjay Sadashiv Chachane vs. The Chief Executive Officer, Z. P. Yavatmal and Others*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
5. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

..2/-

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6227/2025
(@ SLP(C) NO.12818/2025 @ DIARY NO.37461/2024)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

VINAYAK RAGHUNATH ARAGE

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Leave granted.
3. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 25.04.2022 in Writ Petition No.13275 of 2021, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Vinayak Raghunath Arage vs. The State of Maharashtra and Anr.*"
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 16.03.2000 passed by the High Court in Writ Petition No.1175 of 2000 having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
5. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

.2.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6253/2025
(@ SLP(C) NO.12829/2025 @ DIARY NO.37462/2024)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

ASHOK NAGORAO MEKEWAD AND ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Leave granted.
3. The appellant lays challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 25.04.2022 in Writ Petition No.1674 of 2022, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Ashok Nagorao Mekewad vs. The State of Maharashtra and Anr.*"
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 11.12.2003 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay at Aurangabad in Writ Petition No.5114 of 2003 titled "*Ashok Nagorao Makewad vs. The State of Maharshtra and Ors.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
5. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

.2.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

.2.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6252/2025
(@ SLP(C) NO.12828/2025 @ DIARY NO.38195/2024)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR. APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

SWATI SUNIL DHORE AND ORS. RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Leave granted.
3. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 25.04.2022 in Writ Petition No.1640 of 2022, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Swati Sunil Dhore vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*"
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 14.01.2015 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.3289 of 2013 titled "*Swati w/o Sunil Dhore vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
5. The civil appeal stands disposed of.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6251/2025
(@ SLP(C) NO.12827/2025 @ DIARY NO.38200/2024)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

CHANDRAKANT PANDURANG SURADKAR AND
ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Leave granted.
3. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 25.04.2022 in Writ Petition No.1641 of 2022, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Chandrakant Pandurang Suradkar vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*"
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 12.01.2016 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.6638 of 2015 titled "*Chandrakant Pandurang vs. Chief Executive Officer and Anr.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
5. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

.2.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6250/2025
(@ SLP(C) NO.12826/2025 @ DIARY NO.38203/2024)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS. APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

SAMADHAN DASHRATH IRALE AND ANR. RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Leave granted.
3. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 25.04.2022 in Writ Petition No.1637 of 2022, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Samadhan Dashrath Irale vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*"
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 07.05.2015 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay Bench at Nagpur in Writ Petition No.316 of 2014 titled "*Samadhan S/o Dashrath Irale vs. Chief Executive Officer and Anr.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order assailed in the present appeal.
5. The civil appeal stands disposed of.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6223/2025
(@ SLP(C) No.12814/2025 @ Diary No.38205/2024)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS. APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

ISHWAR EKNATH KHAWALE AND ANR. RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Leave granted.
3. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 25.04.2022 in Writ Petition No.1643 of 2022, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled *"Ishwar Eknath Khawale vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors."*
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 16.04.2014 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.3144 of 2013 titled *"Ishwar Eknath Khawale vs. State of Maharashtra and Others."* having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order assailed in the present appeal.
5. The civil appeal stands disposed of.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

.2.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6225/2025
(@ SLP(C) NO.12816/2025 @ DIARY NO.38220/2024)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

SUNIL RAGHUNATH INGALE AND ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Leave granted.
3. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 25.04.2022 in Writ Petition No.1644 of 2022, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Sunil Raghunath Ingale vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*"
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 19.11.2015 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.4566 of 2015 titled *Sunil S/o Raghunath Ingle vs. The Zilla Parishad Buldhana and Anr.*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
5. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

.2.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6249/2025
(@ SLP(C) NO.12825/2025 @ DIARY NO.38221/2024)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

VIJAY NARAYANRAO KUMERIYA AND ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Leave granted.
3. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 25.04.2022 in Writ Petition No.1630 of 2022, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Vijay Narayanrao Kumeriya vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*"
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 28.06.2017 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Nagpur Bench, Nagpur in Writ Petition No.2122 of 2017 titled "*Vijay Narayanrao Kumeriya vs. Chief Executive Officer, Z.P. Bhandra and Others*" having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order assailed in the present appeal.
5. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

..2/-

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6248/2025
(@ SLP(C) NO.12824/2025 @ DIARY NO.38222/2024)

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

SANJAY VASANT SONUNE AND ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Leave granted.
3. The appellants lay challenge to the impugned judgment and final order dated 25.04.2022 in Writ Petition No.1631 of 2022, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad titled "*Sanjay Vasant Sonune vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.*"
4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, more so, in view of the order dated 25.03.2015 passed by the High Court in Writ Petition No.3309 of 2014 having attained finality, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment assailed in the present appeal.
5. The civil appeal stands disposed of.

.2.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 28, 2025.