

ITEM NO.38

COURT NO.5

SECTION XVI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).28068-28069/2018

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 28-09-2018 in APO No. 253/2018 28-09-2018 in APO No. 255/2018 passed by the High Court at Calcutta]

MANGLAHAT CONSTRUCTION AND BUILDERS PRIVATE LTD. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

GOPI DAS MIMANI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 152894/2018 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ ANNEXURES)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 30141-30142/2018 (XVI)

(IA No. 160543/2018 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, IA No. 160698/2018 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

SLP(C) No. 6961/2019 (XVI)

Diary No(s). 40071/2019 (XVI)

(IA No. 193006/2019 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING)

Date : 25-04-2025 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM NATH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MEHTA

For Petitioner(s) :Ms. Mayuri Raghuvanshi, AOR

Mr. Vyom Raghuvanshi, Adv.

Ms. Akanksha Rathore, Adv.

Ms. Kinjal Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Shameek Ray, Adv.

Mr. Niket Ojha, Adv.

Mr. Dhruv Mehta, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Chandra Shekhar Singh, Adv.

Mr. Anindo Mukherjee, Adv.

Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, AOR

Ms. Bharti Tyagi, AOR

Mr. Anil Kumar Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Chandra Sekhar Singh, Adv.
Mr. Anindo Mukherjee, Adv.
Mr. Shiv Kumar Vats, Adv.
Mr. Gaurav Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Subhash Chandra, Adv.
Mr. Mohinder Singh, Adv.
Mr. Vijay Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Chand Qureshi, AOR

For Respondent(s) :

Dr. P.b.reddy, Adv.
Ms. Patnam Shyla, Adv.
Ms. C.s.hema, Adv.
Ms. Snehasree Lakkamaneni, Adv.
Mr. Mohit Yadav, Adv.
Mrs. Aarti Pal, Adv.
Mrs. Arpana Soni, Adv.
Ms. Preeti Chauhan, Adv.
Mr. Keshav Dev, Adv.
Mr. Chand Qureshi, AOR

Ms. Mayuri Raghuvanshi, AOR
Mr. Vyom Raghuvanshi, Adv.
Ms. Akanksha Rathore, Adv.
Ms. Kinjal Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Shameek Ray, Adv.
Mr. Niket Ojha, Adv.

Mr. Vikas Mehta, AOR
Mr. Kartik Pandey, Adv.

Mr. Maibam Nabaghanashyam Singh, AOR

Mr. Gaurav Kejriwal, AOR
Mr. Anmoldeep Singh, Adv.
Mr. Sidharth Tandon, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Learned counsel for the parties state that one
of the party, Vijay Kumar Mimani, common in the
present petitions has since passed away.

Learned counsel for the petitioners in respective cases pray for and are granted four weeks' time to take appropriate steps for bringing on record the legal heirs of the said deceased-petitioner/respondent, as the case may be.

It is stated that respondent no.11, Gajanand Agency Services, is sought to be represented by two counsel having their vakalatnama signed by two rival factions being brothers due to ownership dispute between them. One vakalatnama is already accepted by the Registry. The other side also wants to file vakalatnama.

Registry to accept the vakalatnama and notify the name of both the counsel as and when these matters are next listed.

List the matters in July, 2025.

(NEETU KHAJURIA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-CUM-PS

(RANJANA SHAILY)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR