

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. _____ OF 2019
(@ Diary No.35101/2019)

PERVEZ AMIN

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

KANPUR DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (KDA) & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

Delay condoned.

We have heard learned counsel appearing for the appellant.

In our considered view, there is no legal infirmity in the impugned order warranting our interference under Section 21(A)(I) of the Consumer Protection Act, 1986.

The appeal is dismissed accordingly.

.....J
[NAVIN SINHA]

.....J
[B.R. GAVAI]

NEW DELHI;
OCTOBER 18, 2019.

ITEM NO.17

COURT NO.13

SECTION XVII-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

CIVIL APPEAL Diary No(s). 35101/2019

PERVEZ AMIN

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

KANPUR DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (KDA) & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.156073/2019-CONDONATION OF DELAY
IN FILING and IA No.156074/2019-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE
IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

Date : 18-10-2019 This Appeal/s was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN SINHA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.R. GAVAI

For Appellant(s) Mr. Ashwarya Sinha, AOR
Ms. Priyanka Sinha, Adv.
Mr. Alok K. Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Delay condoned.

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

Pending interlocutory applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SANJAY KUMAR-II)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(PRADEEP KUMAR)
BRANCH OFFICER

(Signed Order is placed on the file)