

ITEM NO.36

COURT NO.1

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No.18225/2024

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 02-07-2024 in WP(C) No.4927/2024 passed by the High Court of Delhi at New Delhi)

HARISH RANA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With IA No.179149/2024-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, IA No.179149/2024 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.200364/2024 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION)

Date : 08-11-2024 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ MISRA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Manish Jain, Adv.  
Mr. Jugul Kishor Gupta, AOR  
Mr. Vikas Kumar Varma, Adv.  
Mr. Vinod Kumar Bhargav, Adv.  
Mr. Shanky Jain, Adv.  
Mr. Mradul Awasthi, Adv.  
Mr. Amit Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Tejaswi Goel, Adv.  
Mr. Rahul Maurya, Adv.  
Mr. Milind Awasthi, Adv.  
Ms. Mansi Jain, Adv.  
Mr. Dilip Kumar, Adv.

**For Respondent(s)**    **Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, ASG**  
                                  **Mr. Amrish Kumar, AOR**  
                                  **Mr. Arun Kanwa, Adv.**  
                                  **Ms. Sushma Verma, Adv.**  
                                  **Ms. Shreya Jain, Adv.**  
  
                                  **Ms. Rashmi Nandakumar, AOR**  
                                  **Ms. Dhvani Mehta, Adv.**  
                                  **Ms. Yashmita Pandey, Adv.**

**UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R**

- 1    A petition under Article 226 of the Constitution was instituted before the Delhi High Court seeking a direction to constitute a Medical Board to examine the health condition of the petitioner who is in a permanent vegetative state and to facilitate the administration of passive euthanasia. The petitioner is stated to suffer from 100% disability with Quadriplegia.
- 2    On 20 August 2024, while issuing notice to the Union of India, this Court had requested Ms Aishwarya Bhati, Additional Solicitor General to explore alternative solutions for providing adequate care to the petitioner.
- 3    A status report has been submitted before this Court by the Under Secretary to the Government of India in the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. Annexure R-3 of the status report contains a report of the Central Government in the matter. The solutions which have been provided in the report are in the following terms:

“Consequent upon all the efforts made by the Central Government in compliance with the instructions given by the Hon’ble Supreme Court of India on 20 August, 2024, the following viable solutions have emerged for consideration of the Hon’ble Supreme Court of India:

- (i) Home care of Shri Harish Rana with assistance from the Government of Uttar Pradesh as under:

- a. Regular Physiotherapist's visit
  - b. Regular Dietician's visit
  - c. Medical Officer on call
  - d. Nursing care provision at home
  - e. Availability of all required medicines and consumables free of cost.
- (ii) If home care is not feasible, shifting of Shri Harish Rana to District Hospital, Noida, Sector-39 for ensuring availability of proper medical care considering his health condition.
- (iii) Support from NGOs, if deemed fit, may also be considered."
- 4 Mr Manish Jain, counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, who is represented by his mother in these proceedings, states that the matter has been resolved satisfactorily and both the parents are agreeable to accepting the course as suggested in the above extracts.
- 5 The Special Leave Petition is accordingly disposed of taking the arrangement on the record. However, liberty is granted to either of the parents of the petitioner to move the Court in future should it become necessary to obtain further directions.

**(CHETAN KUMAR)**  
**A.R. -cum-P.S.**

**(SAROJ KUMARI GAUR)**  
**Assistant Registrar**