

respondents.

4. In view of the above and Clause 23(c) of the Handbook on Practice and Procedure and Office Procedure, 2017, Chapter XI - Filing and Processing of Cases under the Head "Scrutiny and Processing of Applications", this Court is of the opinion that the learned Registrar has rightly declined to register the application for restoration under Order VIII Rules 6(3) and 6(4) of the Supreme Court Rules, 2003 vide Order dated 20.4.2017 due to non-compliance of the order of this Court which reads as under:

"Learned counsel for the petitioner is granted four weeks' time to comply with the office report failing which the petition shall stand dismissed without further reference to the Court.

Any application for restoration shall be entertained only on payment of costs of Rs.1000/- to be deposited with the Supreme Court Legal Services Committee."

6. The status is the same even today. Filing of proper affidavit of service is a pre-requisite for the registration of the application for restoration which has not been complied with despite having been granted a number of opportunities to the petitioner.

7. In view of the foregoing, this Court declines to entertain the appeal against the order of the learned Registrar dated 20.04.2017 at the threshold.

8. Miscellaneous Application stands dismissed.

(ARUSHI SUNEJA)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(ANJU KAPOOR)
COURT MASTER (NSH)