

ITEM NO.1501
[For Judgment]

REVISED
COURT NO.9

SECTION II-B

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Criminal Appeal No(s).1307/2019

RAVINDER SINGH @ KAKU

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF PUNJAB

Respondent(s)

([HEARD BY:HON'BLE UDAY UMESH LALIT AND HON'BLE VINEET SARAN, JJ.]
IA No.24253/2011 - GRANT OF BAIL, IA No.5326/2012 - PERMISSION TO
FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS)

WITH

Cr1.A. No.1308-1311/2019 (II-B)

(IA No.157178/2018 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No.26736/2012 -
EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 04-05-2022 These matters were called on for pronouncement of
judgment.

For Parties

Mr. Bharat Bhushan, AOR
Mr. Shubham Khanduja, Adv.
Mr. Aasheesh K. Paandey, Adv.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar Dwivedi, Adv.
Mr. Avadh Pratap Singh, Adv.
Ms. Sucheta Kumari, Adv.
Mr. Pradeep Kumar Dwivedi, Adv.
Mr. Satyam Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Raghvendra Upadhyay, Adv.
Mr. Rakesh Mishra, AOR

Ms. Jaspreet Gogia, AOR
Ms. Shivangi Singhal, Adv.
Mr. Karamvir Gogia, Adv.

Ms. Anuradha Mutatkar, AOR
Mr. Vikas Mahajan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Anil Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Vivek Mahajan, Adv.
Ms. Reena Devi, Adv.
Mr. Arun Singh, Adv.
Mr. Salik Ram, Adv.
Mr. Vinod Sharma, AOR.

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Vineet Saran pronounced the judgment of the
Bench comprising Hon'ble Mr. Justice Uday Umesh Lalit and His
Lordship.

Criminal Appeal No.1307 of 2019 is allowed and Criminal Appeal Nos.1308-1311 of 2019 are dismissed in terms of the signed reportable judgment. The operative part of the judgment reads as under:

"22. To conclude, the tripod stand of Motive, Last Seen Theory and Recovery, that supported the conviction of A2 according to the High Court, is found to be non-conclusive and the evidence supporting the conviction of A2 is marred with inconsistencies and contradictions, thereby making it impossible to sustain a conviction solely on such circumstantial evidence.

23. Accordingly, the appeal filed by the appellant Ravinder Singh (A2) i.e. Criminal Appeal No.1307 of 2019 is allowed and the impugned order of the High Court is set aside to the extent that it convicts A2 under section 302 and 364 of the Indian Penal Code. Hence, the conviction of A2 is set aside. However, the acquittal of A1 and A3 by the impugned order is upheld. Accordingly, the appeals filed by the Respondent/State against the impugned order challenging the acquittal of A1 and A3 i.e. Criminal Appeal Nos. 1308-1311 of 2019 are dismissed. Therefore, we direct that a copy of this order be communicated to the relevant jail authorities and the appellant i.e. Ravinder Singh (A2) be immediately set at liberty, unless his detention is required in any other case."

Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(ARJUN BISHT)	(PRADEEP KUMAR)	(ASHWANI THAKUR)
(COURT MASTER (SH)	(BRANCH OFFICER)	AR-CUM-PS
(signed reportable judgment is placed on the file)		

ITEM NO.1501
[For Judgment]

COURT NO.9

SECTION II-B

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Criminal Appeal No(s).1307/2019

RAVINDER SINGH @ KAKU

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF PUNJAB

Respondent(s)

([HEARD BY:HON'BLE UDAY UMESH LALIT AND HON'BLE VINEET SARAN, JJ.]
IA No.24253/2011 - GRANT OF BAIL, IA No.5326/2012 - PERMISSION TO
FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS)

WITH

CrI.A. No.1308-1311/2019 (II-B)

(IA No.157178/2018 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No.26736/2012 -
EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 04-05-2022 These matters were called on for pronouncement of
judgment.

For Parties

Ms. Jaspreet Gogia, AOR
Ms. Shivangi Singhal, Adv.
Mr. Karamvir Gogia, Adv.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar Dwivedi, Adv.
Mr. Avadh Pratap Singh, Adv.
Ms. Sucheta Kumari, Adv.
Mr. Pradeep Kumar Dwivedi, Adv.
Mr. Satyam Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Raghvendra Upadhyay, Adv.
Mr. Rakesh Mishra, AOR

Mr. Bharat Bhushan, AOR
Mr. Shubham Khanduja, Adv.
Mr. Aasheesh K. Paandey, Adv.

Ms. Anuradha Mutatkar, AOR
Mr. Vikas Mahajan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Anil Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Vivek Mahajan, Adv.
Ms. Reena Devi, Adv.
Mr. Arun Singh, Adv.
Mr. Salik Ram, Adv.
Mr. Vinod Sharma, AOR.

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Vineet Saran pronounced the judgment of the
Bench comprising Hon'ble Mr. Justice Uday Umesh Lalit and His
Lordship.

Criminal Appeal No.1307 of 2019 is allowed and Criminal Appeal Nos.1308-1311 of 2019 are dismissed in terms of the signed reportable judgment. The operative part of the judgment reads as under:

"22. To conclude, the tripod stand of Motive, Last Seen Theory and Recovery, that supported the conviction of A2 according to the High Court, is found to be non-conclusive and the evidence supporting the conviction of A2 is marred with inconsistencies and contradictions, thereby making it impossible to sustain a conviction solely on such circumstantial evidence.

23. Accordingly, the appeal filed by the appellant Ravinder Singh (A2) i.e. Criminal Appeal No.1307 of 2019 is allowed and the impugned order of the High Court is set aside to the extent that it convicts A2 under section 302 and 364 of the Indian Penal Code. Hence, the conviction of A2 is set aside. However, the acquittal of A1 and A3 by the impugned order is upheld. Accordingly, the appeals filed by the Respondent/State against the impugned order challenging the acquittal of A1 and A3 i.e. Criminal Appeal Nos. 1308-1311 of 2019 are dismissed. Therefore, we direct that a copy of this order be communicated to the relevant jail authorities and the appellant i.e. Ravinder Singh (A2) be immediately set at liberty, unless his detention is required in any other case."

Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(ARJUN BISHT)

(PRADEEP KUMAR)

(ASHWANI THAKUR)

(COURT MASTER (SH)

(BRANCH OFFICER)

AR-CUM-PS

(signed reportable judgment is placed on the file)