

ITEM NO.17

COURT NO.3

SECTION IV-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 24015-24020/2018

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 10-08-2018 in WP No. 30609/2008 10-08-2018 in WP No. 11734/2008 10-08-2018 in WP No. 12612/2008 10-08-2018 in WP No. 14097/2008 10-08-2018 in WP No. 31026/2008 10-08-2018 in WP No. 60096/2010 passed by the High Court Of Karnataka At Bengaluru)

RAMACHNADRAPURA MUTH ETC.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SRI SAMSTHANA MAHABALESHWARA DEVARU & ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 1768-1773/2018 in SLP(C) No. 24015-24020/2018
(IV-A)
(FOR ADMISSION)

SLP(C) No. 24321/2018 (IV-A)
(FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT ON IA 127986/2018)

(FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. ON IA 127987/2018
FOR PERMISSION TO FILE LENGTHY LIST OF DATES ON IA 127988/2018)

Date : 03-10-2018 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Tushar Mehta, ASG
Mr. Kapil Sibal, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Abhimanyu Bhandari, Adv.
Mr. Ejaz Maqbool, AOR
Mr. Ganpati Hegde, Adv.
Mr. Shailesh Madiyal, Adv.
Mr. Manmohan P.N., Adv.
Mr. Aruna Shyam M., Adv.
Mr. Mrigank Prabhakar, Adv.
Mr. Sudhanshu Prakash, Adv.
Ms. Natasha Garg, Adv.
Ms. Roohina Dua, Adv.
Ms. Akriti Chaubey, Adv.
Ms. Qurratulain, Adv.
Ms. Tanya Shree, Adv.

Mr. Kunwar Aditya Singh, Adv.

Mr. Basava Prabhu Patil, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Saurabh Agrawal, Adv.

Ms. Komal Mundhra, Adv.

Mr. Goutham Shivshankar, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ranjit Kumar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Joseph Aristotle S., Adv.
Mrs. Priya Aristotle, Adv.
Mr. Shiva P., Adv.
Ms. Aruna Hannah Dutta, Adv.

Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr. Adv.

Mr. R. Basant, Sr. Adv.

Mr. S. S. Naganand, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Arjun Rao, Adv.

Mr. Rishab Bansal, Adv.

Mr. Mayank Pandey, Adv.

Mr. Vanshi Rao, Adv.

Ms. K. V. Bharathi Upadhyaya, AOR

Mr. Mayank Pandey, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

I.A.No. 136256 of 2018

On 07.09.2018, while issuing notice fixing a returnable date within eight weeks, this Court passed the following order :-

"....The interim order passed by the High Court on 10.08.2018 after pronouncement of the final Judgment shall continue, in the meantime."

Obviously, this Court intended the status quo obtaining as on that date to continue until further orders. However, it appears that on account of a direction contained in the Judgment at Paragraph 186, the constitution of the Overseeing Committee was kept in abeyance only till 10.09.2018 and hence, the Government has taken steps to constitute the Committee.

Accordingly, some steps have been taken in that regard by order dated 18.09.2018 directing the Deputy Commissioner to take charge of the affairs of the temple. Since we have clarified that this Court actually intended in the order dated 07.09.2018 that the parties should maintain status quo as on that date i.e. 07.09.2018 forthwith. The order dated 18.09.2018 passed by the Government will stand recalled.

For all purposes, the status quo obtaining as on 07.09.2018 shall be maintained by the parties until further orders.

In view of the above, the interlocutory application is disposed of.

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 1768-1773/2018 in SLP(C) No. 24015-24020/2018

In view of the order passed in I.A.No. 136256 of 2018 above, we do not find that there is any need to continue the contempt petition, which is, accordingly, dismissed.

Let the matter be listed on the returnable date as per the notice already issued on 07.09.2018.

The petitioners are directed to take Dasti notice in addition.

(JAYANT KUMAR ARORA)
COURT MASTER

(RENU DIWAN)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR