

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR SH. ANIL LAXMAN PANSARE

Transfer Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 1984/2017

CHAND KUMARI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

AJAY KUMAR

Respondent(s)

IA No. 112067/2017 - STAY APPLICATION)

Date : 09-12-2019 These matters were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

None appeared for the petitioner when called.

Spare copy has been filed.

Fresh particulars of the sole respondent have not been furnished, despite last opportunity.

On previous date i.e., 13th August, 2019, the Registrar Court passed following order :

"Ld. Counsel for the petitioner to take fresh steps alongwith fresh particulars of the sole respondent within two weeks, as last opportunity. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner requested to serve the respondent through District Judge. Permission granted. Notice be issued through District Judge in terms of Order LIII Rule 3, Supreme Court Rules, 2013. List again on 9.12.2019."

Accordingly, matter is listed today. As could be seen from the order, the petitioner requested to serve respondent

through District Judge. Accordingly, the Registry was directed to issue notice through District Judge in terms of Order LIII Rule 3 of Supreme Court Rules, 2013. However, as per office report, the Registry has issued notice by dasti through concerned Trial Court. Firstly, the act of Registry is contrary to the order passed by Registrar's Court and secondly Order LIII Rule 3 does not provide for service by dasti through Trial Court.

Registry to explain as to why the notice has not been issued in terms of order dated 13th August, 2019.

List against on 18th December, 2019.

ANIL LAXMAN PANSARE
Registrar