

ITEM NO.41 Court 16 (Video Conferencing)

SECTION XVI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 22630/2017

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 10-05-2017 in MJC No. 1488/2015 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Patna)

MANOJ KUMAR & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 22857/2017 (XVI)

(IA No. 83584/2017 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No. 83581/2017 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

SLP(C) No. 22893/2017 (XVI)

(IA No. 83919/2017 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

SLP(C) No. 29499/2017 (XVI)

(IA No. 112911/2017 - DELETING THE NAME OF PETITIONER/RESPONDENT, IA No. 112910/2017 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

SLP(C) No. 31692/2017 (XVI)

(IA No. 122677/2017 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 24-09-2021 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH MAHESHWARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM NATH

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Sunil Kumar Verma, Adv.
Ms. Prashi Tyagi, Adv.
Mr. Sunil Kumar Verma, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. R.S Suri, Ld ASG.
Mr. P.V Yogeswaran, Adv.
Mr. Nachiketa Joshi, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tyagi, Adv.
Mr. Amrish Kumar, AOR

Mr. Rupinder Singh Suri, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

I.A.No.112911/2017 for deleting the name of proforma respondent No.16 is allowed at the risk of the petitioners.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioners and having perused the material placed on record, we are not inclined to entertain these petitions, particularly in relation to the orders passed by the High Court declining to initiate proceedings for contempt against the respondents. Therefore, these petitions are required to be dismissed.

However, in the interest of justice, it does appear appropriate and hence observed that dismissal of these special leave petitions shall not be of impediment in the petitioners taking recourse to appropriate proceedings in accordance with law, in relation to their grievance against the orders said to have been passed by the authorities concerned.

The special petitions are, accordingly, dismissed subject to the observations foregoing.

Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SWETA BALODI)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(SUNIL KUMAR RAJVANSHI)
BRANCH OFFICER