

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(S) . 67 OF 2017  
(Arising out of SLP(CRL.) No(s).9590 of 2013)

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION ...APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

SANJIV PAUL ...RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. Heard learned counsels for the parties and perused the relevant material.
3. On due consideration, we are of the view that the stage at which the High Court had quashed the proceedings was not appropriate. We, therefore, interfere with the order of the High Court but leave it open to the respondent-accused to seek discharge before the learned trial Court. All questions are left open to be urged before the learned trial Court.
4. Appeal, consequently is disposed of in terms of the above.

.....,J.  
(RANJAN GOGOI)

.....,J.  
(ASHOK BHUSHAN)

NEW DELHI  
JANUARY 10, 2017

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 9590/2013

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 31/01/2013 in CRLMP No. 1582/2009 passed by the High Court Of Jharkhand At Ranchi)

C.B.I

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SANJIV PAUL

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for stay and office report)

Date : 10/01/2017 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK BHUSHAN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Ranjit Kumar, Solicitor General  
Ms. Binu Tamta, Adv.  
Mr. Abhinav Mukerji, Adv.  
Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Sanjiv Sen, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. R.N. Karanjawala, Adv.  
Mr. Debmalya Banerjee, Adv.  
Mr. A.S. Aman, Adv.  
Mr. Manish Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Aviral Kapur, Adv.  
Ms. Manik Karanjawala, Adv.  
For M/s. Karanjawala & Co.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Leave granted.

Appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed  
order.

(Neetu Khajuria)  
Court Master

(Asha Soni)  
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file.)