

ITEM NO.21

COURT NO.2

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C).....CC 22303-22304/2016

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 18/08/2016 in RA No. 122/2016 18/08/2016 in WP No. 6863/2012 23/09/2015 in WP No. 6863/2012 passed by the High Court of Bombay at Aurangabad)

KAI. AMBUMATA LOMTE SIKSHAN SANSTHA,
SALGARA (DIWATI)

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With c/delay in filing SLP and office report)

Date : 17/02/2017 This application was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPAK MISRA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE R. BANUMATHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MOHAN M. SHANTANAGOUDAR

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Dilip Annasaheb Taur, AOR
Mr. Amol V. Deshmukh, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

Delay condoned.

In paragraph 15 of the impugned order, the High Court has returned a finding that the petitioner-Institution though was communicated with regard to twenty-six requirements, it has failed to comply with seventeen requirements.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we grant liberty to the petitioner to make good the deficiencies and, thereafter, approach the competent authority of the State Government so that needful can be done. We further grant liberty that if a representation is made after compliance of the seventeen requirements, the competent authority shall inspect the institution and find out whether there has been full compliance or not and, accordingly, proceed with the matter.

With the aforesaid observation and direction, the special leave petitions stand disposed of.

(Chetan Kumar)
Court Master

(H.S. Parasher)
Court Master